arXiv:1503.01194v2 [math.NT] 20 Oct 2015

Use of the generating function to generalize the sum formula for quadruple zeta values

Machide, Tomoya[*] [†]

Abstract

In the present paper, we prove an identity for the generating function of the quadruple zeta values. Taking homogeneous parts on both sides of the identity and substituting appropriate values for the variables, we obtain the sum formula for quadruple zeta values. We also obtain its weighted analogues, which include the formulas for this case proved by Guo and Xie (2009, J. Number Theory 129, 2747–2765) and by Ong, Eie, and Liaw (2013, Int. J. Number Theory 9, 1185–1198).

1 Introduction and statement of results

A multiple zeta value (MZV) is defined by the convergent series

$$\zeta(\mathbf{l}) = \zeta(l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_n) = \sum_{m_1 > m_2 > \cdots > m_n > 0} \frac{1}{m_1^{l_1} m_2^{l_2} \cdots m_n^{l_n}},$$

where $\mathbf{l} = (l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_n)$ is an admissible index set, that is, it is an index set of positive integers with $l_1 \geq 2$. The condition $l_1 \geq 2$ ensures convergence. The integers $\mathrm{d}(\mathbf{l}) = n$ and $\mathrm{w}(\mathbf{l}) = l_1 + \cdots + l_n$ are called the depth and weight, respectively. The single, double, triple, and quadruple zeta values (SZVs, DZVs, TZVs, and QZVs, respectively) are the MZVs of depth 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively.

It is known that, among the MZVs, there are many linear relations over $\mathbb{Q}$. One notable example is the sum formula, which was conjectured by Moen [6] and Markett [17]:

$$\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{l}:\mathrm{adm} \\ \left(\substack{\mathrm{d}(\mathbf{l})=n \\ \mathrm{w}(\mathbf{l})=l}\right)}} \zeta(l_1, \ldots, l_n) = \zeta(l), \tag{1.1}$$

where the summation ranges over all admissible index sets of depth n and weight l. Formula (1.1) was proved for DZVs by Euler [2], for TZVs by Hoffman and Moen [8], and for general MZVs by Granville [4]. Zagier also proved (1.1) independently in an unpublished manuscript (see [4]).

Formula (1.1) has been generalized and extended in various directions [1, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 18–22]. Recently, generalizations of (1.1) for DZVs and TZVs, from the point of view of the generating functions, were given in [3] and [14], respectively (see the Appendix for details). In the present paper, we give such a generalization of (1.1) for QZVs.

---

[*] National Institute of Informatics, 2-1-2 Hitotsubashi, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 101-8430, Japan

[†] JST, ERATO, Kawarabayashi Large Graph Project, c/o Global Research Center for Big Data Mathematics, NII, 2-1-2 Hitotsubashi, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 101-8430, Japan

e-mail: machide@nii.ac.jp

MSC-class: 11M32(Primary), 16S34,20C07(Secondary)

Key words: multiple zeta value, sum formula, generating function, group ring of general linear group

We will begin by introducing the notation and terminology that will be used to state our results. We denote by $\mathfrak{S}_n$ and $e = e_n$ the symmetric group of degree n and its identity element, respectively. We also denote by $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ and $I = I_n$ the general linear group of degree n over $\mathbb{Z}$ and its identity element, respectively. We identify a permutation σ in $\mathfrak{S}_n$ with the matrix $(\delta_{i\sigma(j)})_{1\le i,j\le n}$ in $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$, where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta function. It is obvious that e is identified with I. Let $\mathbb{Q}[GL_n(\mathbb{Z})]$ be the group ring of $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. Using this identification, we define an element Σ_H in $\mathbb{Q}[GL_n(\mathbb{Z})]$ by

$$\Sigma_H := \sum_{\sigma\in H} \sigma$$

for any subset H in $\mathfrak{S}_n$. The group ring $\mathbb{Q}[GL_n(\mathbb{Z})]$ acts on the ring $\mathbb{R}[[x_1,\ldots,x_n]]$ of the formal power series in n indeterminates over $\mathbb{R}$ by

$$(p|\Gamma)(x_1,\ldots,x_n) := \sum a_k p((x_1,\ldots,x_n)M_k^{-1}),$$

where $\Gamma = \sum a_k M_k \in \mathbb{Q}[GL_n(\mathbb{Z})]$ and $p \in \mathbb{R}[[x_1,\ldots,x_n]]$. This is a right action, that is, $p|(\Gamma\Delta) = (p|\Gamma)|\Delta$ for elements $\Gamma, \Delta \in \mathbb{Q}[GL_n(\mathbb{Z})]$. Note that the action of $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ on p is $(p|\sigma)(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = p((x_1,\ldots,x_n)\sigma^{-1}) = p(x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)},\ldots,x_{\sigma^{-1}(n)})$.

We define the generating function $\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}$ of the QZVs as the following formal power series in $\mathbb{R}[[x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4]]$:

$$\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4) := \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{l}:\mathbf{adm} \\ (\mathrm{d}(\mathbf{l})=4)}} \zeta(l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4) x_1^{l_1-1}x_2^{l_2-1}x_3^{l_3-1}x_4^{l_4-1}. \tag{1.2}$$

We also define the formal power series $\underline{\mathfrak{q}}$ in $\mathbb{R}[[x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4]]$ by

$$\underline{\mathfrak{q}}(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4) := \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{l} \\ \left(\substack{\mathrm{d}(\mathbf{l})=4 \\ \mathrm{w}(\mathbf{l})>4}\right)}} \zeta(l_1+l_2+l_3+l_4) x_1^{l_1-1}x_2^{l_2-1}x_3^{l_3-1}x_4^{l_4-1}. \tag{1.3}$$

The summation rule in (1.2) is slightly different from that in (1.3): the former ranges over all admissible index sets whose depth is 4, but the latter ranges over all index sets of positive integers whose depth is 4 and whose weight is greater than 4.

We denote by $\mathfrak{C}_4$ the cyclic subgroup in $\mathfrak{S}_4$ generated by the permutation (1234), i.e., $\mathfrak{C}_4 = \langle(1234)\rangle = \{e,(1234),(13)(24),(1432)\}$. Let P, Q, R, S be the matrices in $GL_4(\mathbb{Z})$ given by

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},\ Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},\ R = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},\ S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1.4}$$

and let Φ, Ψ be the elements in $\mathbb{Q}[GL_4(\mathbb{Z})]$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi &= I + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \Psi &= I + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

Our main result is stated as follows.

THEOREM 1.1. *We have the following identity in* $\mathbb{R}[[x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4]]$*:*

$$\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}|(P\Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}_4} - (\Psi\Phi Q - \Phi R - \Psi S + I)\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4}) = \underline{\mathfrak{q}}. \tag{1.6}$$

Taking the homogeneous parts on both sides of (1.6) and substituting appropriate values for the variables x_1, x_2, x_3, and x_4, we can obtain the following formulas:

COROLLARY 1.2. *For any integer $l > 4$, we have*

$$\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{l}:\mathrm{adm} \\ \binom{\mathrm{d}(\mathbf{l})=4}{\mathrm{w}(\mathbf{l})=l}}} \zeta(\mathbf{l}) = \zeta(l), \tag{1.7}$$

$$\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{l}:\mathrm{adm} \\ \binom{\mathrm{d}(\mathbf{l})=4}{\mathrm{w}(\mathbf{l})=l}}} (2^{l_1+l_2+l_3-2} + 2^{l_1+l_2-2} + 2^{l_1-1} - 2^{l_2+l_3-1} - 2^{l_2-1})\zeta(\mathbf{l}) = l\zeta(l), \tag{1.8}$$

$$\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{l}:\mathrm{adm} \\ \binom{\mathrm{d}(\mathbf{l})=4}{\mathrm{w}(\mathbf{l})=l}}} (2^{l_1} + 2^{l_3+1})\zeta(\mathbf{l}) = (l+3)\zeta(l), \tag{1.9}$$

$$\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{l}:\mathrm{adm} \\ \binom{\mathrm{d}(\mathbf{l})=4}{\mathrm{w}(\mathbf{l})=l}}} (3^{l_2}2^{l_1-1} - 3^{l_2} - 1)2^{l_1+l_3}\zeta(\mathbf{l}) = \left(\frac{(l+7)(l+2)(l-3)}{12} + 2\right)\zeta(l). \tag{1.10}$$

Formula (1.7) is, needless to say, the sum formula (1.1) for QZVs. Formulas (1.8) and (1.9) are the weighted sum formulas for QZVs that were proved in [5, Theorem 1.1] and [21, main theorem], respectively. These facts guarantee that, for QZVs, (1.6) is a natural generalization of (1.1). It appears that (1.10) is new, and we note that in its coefficients, it has not only powers of 2 but also powers of 3.

Let $\underline{\mathfrak{Z}}_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be the generating function of MZVs of depth n:

$$\underline{\mathfrak{Z}}_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{l}:\mathrm{adm} \\ (\mathrm{d}(\mathbf{l})=n)}} \zeta(l_1, \dots, l_n) x_1^{l_1-1} \cdots x_n^{l_n-1}. \tag{1.11}$$

We denote by $\underline{\mathfrak{S}}$, $\underline{\mathfrak{D}}$, and $\underline{\mathfrak{T}}$ the generating functions of SZVs, DZVs, and TZVs (or $\underline{\mathfrak{Z}}_1$, $\underline{\mathfrak{Z}}_2$, and $\underline{\mathfrak{Z}}_3$), respectively. Note that $\underline{\mathfrak{Q}} = \underline{\mathfrak{Z}}_4$. Let $\zeta^{\text{ш}}(\mathbf{l})$ be regularized shuffle-type multiple zeta values (RMZVs), which were introduced in [10] as generalizations of MZVs. RMZVs are MZVs if $l_1 \geq 2$, but RMZVs are defined for index sets $\mathbf{l}$ with $l_1 = 1$, unlike MZVs (see [10] for details). Let $\underline{\mathfrak{Z}}^{\text{ш}}_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be the generating function of RMZVs of depth n:

$$\underline{\mathfrak{Z}}^{\text{ш}}_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{l} \\ (\mathrm{d}(\mathbf{l})=n)}} \zeta^{\text{ш}}(l_1, \dots, l_n) x_1^{l_1-1} \cdots x_n^{l_n-1}. \tag{1.12}$$

Similar to how we defined the notation $\underline{\mathfrak{S}}$, $\underline{\mathfrak{D}}$, $\underline{\mathfrak{T}}$, and $\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}$, we denote by $\underline{\mathfrak{S}}^{\text{ш}}$, $\underline{\mathfrak{D}}^{\text{ш}}$, $\underline{\mathfrak{T}}^{\text{ш}}$, and $\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}}$ the generating functions of the regularized SZVs, DZVs, TZVs, and QZVs (or $\underline{\mathfrak{Z}}^{\text{ш}}_1$, $\underline{\mathfrak{Z}}^{\text{ш}}_2$, $\underline{\mathfrak{Z}}^{\text{ш}}_3$, and $\underline{\mathfrak{Z}}^{\text{ш}}_4$), respectively.

The present paper is organized as follows. Sections 2 and 3 each have two subsections. In Section 2.1, we give identities for $\underline{\mathfrak{S}}^{\text{ш}}$, $\underline{\mathfrak{D}}^{\text{ш}}$, $\underline{\mathfrak{T}}^{\text{ш}}$, and $\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}}$, and in Section 2.2, we give a relation between $\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}}$ and $\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}$.

We prove Theorem 1.1 in Section 3.1, by using the results obtained in Section 2 and the identity for RMZVs that was proved in [16, Theorem 1.1]. We derive Corollary 1.2 from Theorem 1.1 in Section 3.2. We attach an appendix at the end of the paper, in which the identities for $\underline{\mathfrak{D}}^{\text{ш}}$ and $\underline{\mathfrak{T}}^{\text{ш}}$ proved in [3] and [14] are restated in terms of the formal power series with the actions of $\mathbb{Q}[GL_2(\mathbb{Z})]$ and $\mathbb{Q}[GL_3(\mathbb{Z})]$, respectively.

REMARK 1.3. Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2 in the present paper are expansions of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 in [12], respectively; the results that will be stated below are expansions of the results following Section 2.1 in [12]. The results of Section 2.1 have been amplified in [16].

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Identities for $\underline{\mathfrak{S}}^{\text{ш}}$, $\underline{\mathfrak{D}}^{\text{ш}}$, $\underline{\mathfrak{T}}^{\text{ш}}$, and $\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}}$

Let P_n be the matrix in $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ given by

$$P_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ -1 & 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad P_n^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ 1 & 1 & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.1}$$

Note that P_4 is P in (1.4). For a function f of n variables, we define $f^\sharp := f|P_n$, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} f^\sharp(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) &= f((x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)P_n^{-1}) \\ &= f(x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n, x_2 + \cdots + x_n, \ldots, x_n). \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

In this subsection, we will prove the identities for $(\underline{\mathfrak{S}}^{\text{ш}})^\sharp$, $(\underline{\mathfrak{D}}^{\text{ш}})^\sharp$, $(\underline{\mathfrak{T}}^{\text{ш}})^\sharp$, and $(\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}})^\sharp$ in Proposition 2.1, and we will restate them in terms of $\underline{\mathfrak{S}}^{\text{ш}}$, $\underline{\mathfrak{D}}^{\text{ш}}$, $\underline{\mathfrak{T}}^{\text{ш}}$, and $\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}}$ in Proposition 2.2. The latter proposition is important for proving Theorem 1.1.

We require some notation to state the propositions. For functions $f_{n_1}, \ldots, f_{n_j}$ such that each f_{n_i} has n_i variables, we define the function $f_{n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{n_j}$ of $n = n_1 + \cdots + n_j$ variables by

$$\begin{aligned} &f_{n_1} \otimes f_{n_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{n_j}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \\ &:= f_{n_1}(x_1, \ldots, x_{n_1}) f_{n_2}(x_{n_1+1}, \ldots, x_{n_1+n_2}) \cdots f_{n_j}(x_{n_1+n_2+\cdots+n_{j-1}+1}, \ldots, x_n). \end{aligned}$$

For example, $\underline{\mathfrak{S}}^{\text{ш}\otimes 2}(x_1, x_2) = \underline{\mathfrak{S}}^{\text{ш}} \otimes \underline{\mathfrak{S}}^{\text{ш}}(x_1, x_2) = \underline{\mathfrak{S}}^{\text{ш}}(x_1)\underline{\mathfrak{S}}^{\text{ш}}(x_2)$ and $\underline{\mathfrak{D}}^{\text{ш}} \otimes \underline{\mathfrak{S}}^{\text{ш}}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \underline{\mathfrak{D}}^{\text{ш}}(x_1, x_2)\underline{\mathfrak{S}}^{\text{ш}}(x_3)$. Let $sh_j^{(n)}$ be the shuffle elements in $\mathbb{Q}[GL_4(\mathbb{Z})]$, which are defined by

$$sh_j^{(n)} := \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n \\ \left(\substack{\sigma(1) < \cdots < \sigma(j) \\ \sigma(j+1) < \cdots < \sigma(n)}\right)}} \sigma \tag{2.3}$$

for integers j, n with $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$. In particular, the elements $sh_3^{(4)}$, $sh_2^{(4)}$, and $sh_2^{(4)}\Sigma_{\langle(34)\rangle}$ can be expressed concretely as

$$\begin{aligned} sh_3^{(4)} &= e + (34) + (234) + (1234), \\ sh_2^{(4)} &= e + (23) + (13)(24) + (123) + (243) + (1243), \\ sh_2^{(4)}\Sigma_{\langle(34)\rangle} &= e + (23) + (24) + (34) + (13)(24) + (123) + (124) \\ &\quad + (234) + (243) + (1234) + (1243) + (1324). \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

The identities of Propositions 2.1 and 2.2 are stated in terms of functions of four variables, as follows.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *We have*

$$(\underline{\mathfrak{T}}^{\text{ш}})^\sharp \otimes (\underline{\mathfrak{S}}^{\text{ш}})^\sharp = (\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}})^\sharp | sh_3^{(4)}, \tag{2.5}$$

$$(\underline{\mathfrak{D}}^{\text{ш}})^{\sharp\otimes 2} = (\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}})^\sharp | sh_2^{(4)}, \tag{2.6}$$

$$(\underline{\mathfrak{D}}^{\text{ш}})^\sharp \otimes (\underline{\mathfrak{S}}^{\text{ш}})^{\sharp\otimes 2} = (\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}})^\sharp | sh_2^{(4)}\Sigma_{\langle(34)\rangle}, \tag{2.7}$$

$$(\underline{\mathfrak{S}}^{\text{ш}})^{\sharp\otimes 4} = (\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}})^\sharp | \Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}_4}. \tag{2.8}$$

PROPOSITION 2.2. *We have*

$$\underline{\mathfrak{T}}^{\text{ш}} \otimes \underline{\mathfrak{S}}^{\text{ш}} = \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}} | \Psi S, \tag{2.9}$$

$$\underline{\mathfrak{D}}^{\text{ш}\otimes 2} = \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}} | \Phi R \Sigma_{\langle (13)(24) \rangle}, \tag{2.10}$$

$$\underline{\mathfrak{D}}^{\text{ш}} \otimes \underline{\mathfrak{S}}^{\text{ш}\otimes 2} = \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}} | \Psi \Phi Q, \tag{2.11}$$

$$\underline{\mathfrak{S}}^{\text{ш}\otimes 4} = \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}} | P \Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}_4}. \tag{2.12}$$

We will first prove Proposition 2.1. For this, we will need the following identity (2.13), which was given implicitly in the proof of [10, Theorem 6].

LEMMA 2.3 (see [10, Theorem 6])**.** *For integers j, n with $1 \leq j \leq n-1$, we have*

$$(\underline{\mathfrak{Z}}^{\text{ш}}_j)^\sharp \otimes (\underline{\mathfrak{Z}}^{\text{ш}}_{n-j})^\sharp = (\underline{\mathfrak{Z}}^{\text{ш}}_n)^\sharp | sh_j^{(n)}. \tag{2.13}$$

REMARK 2.4. The notation $F_n^{\text{ш}}$ in [10] is equivalent to $\underline{\mathfrak{Z}}^{\text{ш}}_n$ in the present paper. The proof of (2.13) in [10] is summarized in the proof of [15, Lemma 3.1] (see [15, (3.11)]).

We can easily prove Proposition 2.1 from Lemma 2.3, as follows.

Proof of Proposition 2.1. We immediately obtain (2.5) and (2.6) by (2.13) with $(j, n) = (3, 4)$ and $(2, 4)$, respectively. We see from (2.13) with $(j, n) = (1, 2)$ that $(\underline{\mathfrak{S}}^{\text{ш}})^{\sharp \otimes 2}(x_3, x_4) = (\underline{\mathfrak{D}}^{\text{ш}})^\sharp(x_3, x_4) + (\underline{\mathfrak{D}}^{\text{ш}})^\sharp(x_4, x_3) = ((\underline{\mathfrak{D}}^{\text{ш}})^\sharp | \Sigma_{\langle (34) \rangle})(x_3, x_4)$, and so we obtain

$$(\underline{\mathfrak{D}}^{\text{ш}})^\sharp \otimes (\underline{\mathfrak{S}}^{\text{ш}})^{\sharp \otimes 2} = (\underline{\mathfrak{D}}^{\text{ш}})^\sharp \otimes ((\underline{\mathfrak{D}}^{\text{ш}})^\sharp | \Sigma_{\langle (34) \rangle}) = (\underline{\mathfrak{D}}^{\text{ш}})^{\sharp \otimes 2} | \Sigma_{\langle (34) \rangle}.$$

Substituting (2.6) into the right-hand side of this equation proves (2.7). Similarly, by (2.13) with $(j, n) = (1, 2)$, we obtain $(\underline{\mathfrak{S}}^{\text{ш}})^{\sharp \otimes 4} = (\underline{\mathfrak{D}}^{\text{ш}})^\sharp \otimes (\underline{\mathfrak{S}}^{\text{ш}})^{\sharp \otimes 2} | \Sigma_{\langle (12) \rangle}$. Substituting (2.7) into the right-hand side of this equation gives

$$(\underline{\mathfrak{S}}^{\text{ш}})^{\sharp \otimes 4} = (\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}})^\sharp | sh_2^{(4)} \Sigma_{\langle (34) \rangle} \Sigma_{\langle (12) \rangle}.$$

A direct calculation shows that $sh_2^{(4)} \Sigma_{\langle (34) \rangle} \Sigma_{\langle (12) \rangle} = \Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}_4}$ (see the third equation of (2.4)), thus we obtain (2.8). $\square$

For any square matrices A of order i and B of order j, we define a block diagonal matrix $A \oplus B$ of order $i + j$ by

$$A \oplus B := \begin{pmatrix} A & \\ & B \end{pmatrix}.$$

If $A \in GL_i(\mathbb{Z})$ and $B \in GL_j(\mathbb{Z})$, then $A \oplus B \in GL_{i+j}(\mathbb{Z})$, since $(A \oplus B)^{-1} = A^{-1} \oplus B^{-1}$. By the definitions of $\otimes$ and $\oplus$,

$$f \otimes g | A \oplus B = f | A \otimes g | B,$$

where f and g are functions of i and j variables, respectively.

We require the following equations in $\mathbb{Q}[GL_4(\mathbb{Z})]$ to prove Proposition 2.2.

LEMMA 2.5. *We have*

$$P sh_3^{(4)} (P_3^{-1} \oplus I_1) = \Psi S, \tag{2.14}$$

$$P sh_2^{(3)} (P_2^{-1} \oplus P_2^{-1}) = \Phi R, \tag{2.15}$$

$$P sh_2^{(4)} \Sigma_{\langle (34) \rangle} (P_2^{-1} \oplus I_2) = \Psi \Phi Q, \tag{2.16}$$

where $sh_2^{(3)}$ in (2.15) is regarded as an element in $\mathbb{Q}[GL_4(\mathbb{Z})]$ by identifying $\mathfrak{S}_3$ as the subgroup of $\mathfrak{S}_4$ consisting of elements that fix 4.

We now prove Proposition 2.2. We will then discuss a proof of Lemma 2.5.

Proof of Proposition 2.2. We note that $f = f|P_nP_n^{-1} = f^\sharp|P_n^{-1}$ for any function f of n variables by the definition (2.2): in particular, $f = f^\sharp$ if $n = 1$.

We can easily see from the above fact that

$$\underline{\mathfrak{T}}^{\text{ш}} \otimes \underline{\mathfrak{S}}^{\text{ш}} = (\underline{\mathfrak{T}}^{\text{ш}})^\sharp \otimes (\underline{\mathfrak{S}}^{\text{ш}})^\sharp|P_3^{-1} \oplus I_1, \tag{2.17}$$

$$\underline{\mathfrak{D}}^{\text{ш}\,\otimes 2} = (\underline{\mathfrak{D}}^{\text{ш}})^{\sharp^{\otimes 2}}|P_2^{-1} \oplus P_2^{-1}, \tag{2.18}$$

$$\underline{\mathfrak{D}}^{\text{ш}} \otimes \underline{\mathfrak{S}}^{\text{ш}\,\otimes 2} = (\underline{\mathfrak{D}}^{\text{ш}})^\sharp \otimes (\underline{\mathfrak{S}}^{\text{ш}})^{\sharp^{\otimes 2}}|P_2^{-1} \oplus I_2. \tag{2.19}$$

By virtue of Lemma 2.5, we can deduce from (2.5), (2.6), and (2.7) that

$$(\underline{\mathfrak{T}}^{\text{ш}})^\sharp \otimes (\underline{\mathfrak{S}}^{\text{ш}})^\sharp|P_3^{-1} \oplus I_1 = \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}}|\Psi S, \tag{2.20}$$

$$(\underline{\mathfrak{D}}^{\text{ш}})^{\sharp^{\otimes 2}}|P_2^{-1} \oplus P_2^{-1} = \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}}|\Phi R\Sigma_{\langle(13)(24)\rangle}, \tag{2.21}$$

$$(\underline{\mathfrak{D}}^{\text{ш}})^\sharp \otimes (\underline{\mathfrak{S}}^{\text{ш}})^{\sharp^{\otimes 2}}|P_2^{-1} \oplus I_2 = \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}}|\Psi\Phi Q, \tag{2.22}$$

respectively. In fact, we see from (2.5) that

$$(\underline{\mathfrak{T}}^{\text{ш}})^\sharp \otimes (\underline{\mathfrak{S}}^{\text{ш}})^\sharp|P_3^{-1} \oplus I_1 = (\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}})^\sharp|sh_3^{(4)}(P_3^{-1} \oplus I_1) = \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}}|Psh_3^{(4)}(P_3^{-1} \oplus I_1),$$

which, together with (2.14), verifies (2.20). We obtain by straightforward calculations

$$sh_2^{(4)} = sh_2^{(3)}\Sigma_{\langle(13)(24)\rangle} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \Sigma_{\langle(13)(24)\rangle}(P_2^{-1} \oplus P_2^{-1}) = (P_2^{-1} \oplus P_2^{-1})\Sigma_{\langle(13)(24)\rangle},$$

where we note that $(13)(24)$ is identified with $\begin{pmatrix} 0&0&1&0\\ 0&0&0&1\\ 1&0&0&0\\ 0&1&0&0 \end{pmatrix}$, and $P_2^{-1} \oplus P_2^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1&0&0&0\\ 1&1&0&0\\ 0&0&1&0\\ 0&0&1&1 \end{pmatrix}$. Thus, we see from (2.6) that

$$\begin{aligned}
(\underline{\mathfrak{D}}^{\text{ш}})^{\sharp^{\otimes 2}}|P_2^{-1} \oplus P_2^{-1} &= \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}}|Psh_2^{(4)}(P_2^{-1} \oplus P_2^{-1})\\
&= \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}}|Psh_2^{(3)}\Sigma_{\langle(13)(24)\rangle}(P_2^{-1} \oplus P_2^{-1})\\
&= \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}}|Psh_2^{(3)}(P_2^{-1} \oplus P_2^{-1})\Sigma_{\langle(13)(24)\rangle},
\end{aligned}$$

which, together with (2.15), verifies (2.21). In a similar way, we see from (2.7) that

$$(\underline{\mathfrak{D}}^{\text{ш}})^\sharp \otimes (\underline{\mathfrak{S}}^{\text{ш}})^{\sharp^{\otimes 2}}|P_2^{-1} \oplus I_2 = \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}}|Psh_2^{(4)}\Sigma_{\langle(34)\rangle}(P_2^{-1} \oplus I_2),$$

which, together with (2.16), verifies (2.22).

We are now able to prove the desired identities. Equating (2.17) and (2.20) proves (2.9), equating (2.18) and (2.21) proves (2.10), and equating (2.19) and (2.22) proves (2.11). We obtain (2.12) by (2.8), since $(\underline{\mathfrak{S}}^{\text{ш}})^\sharp = \underline{\mathfrak{S}}^{\text{ш}}$. □

We will show Lemma 2.5 for the completeness of the proof of Proposition 2.2. The following equations in $\mathbb{Q}[GL_n(\mathbb{Z})]$ are useful for this purpose.

LEMMA 2.6. *We have*

$$\Psi S = \begin{pmatrix} 1&0&0&0\\ 0&1&0&0\\ 0&0&1&0\\ -1&-1&-1&1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1&0&0&0\\ 0&1&0&0\\ -1&-1&0&1\\ 1&1&1&-1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1&0&0&0\\ -1&0&0&1\\ 1&1&0&-1\\ 0&0&1&0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0&0&0&1\\ 1&0&0&-1\\ 0&1&0&0\\ 0&0&1&0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.23}$$

$$\Phi R = \begin{pmatrix} 1&0&0&0\\ 0&1&0&0\\ -1&-1&1&0\\ 0&0&0&1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1&0&0&0\\ -1&0&1&0\\ 1&1&-1&0\\ -1&-1&1&1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0&0&1&0\\ 1&0&-1&0\\ 0&1&0&0\\ -1&-1&1&1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.24}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi\Phi Q = &\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

Proof. By the definitions of S and Ψ in (1.4) and (1.5), respectively, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi S &= \left\{ I + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (2.23). We can prove (2.24) in the same way, and so omit the proof. A direct calculation shows that

$$\Phi Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let A_i denote the i-th matrix on the right-hand side of this equation. Since

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi A_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \Psi A_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \Psi A_3 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain (2.25). ☐

We see from the definition of the embedding of $\mathfrak{S}_4$ into $GL_4(\mathbb{Z})$ that

$$\sigma M = (m_{\sigma^{-1}(i)j})_{1\le i,j\le 4}, \qquad M\sigma = (m_{i\sigma(j)})_{1\le i,j\le 4}, \tag{2.26}$$

where $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_4$ and $M = (m_{ij})_{1\le i,j\le 4} \in GL_4(\mathbb{Z})$; these will be used repeatedly below.

The proof of Lemma 2.5 is as follows.

Proof of Lemma 2.5. Since $sh_3^{(4)} = e + (34) + (234) + (1234)$ and $P_3^{-1} \oplus I_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$,

$$sh_3^{(4)}(P_3^{-1} \oplus I_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} Psh_3^{(4)}(P_3^{-1} \oplus I_1) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

which, together with (2.23), proves (2.14).

Since $sh_2^{(3)} = e + (23) + (123)$ and $P_2^{-1} \oplus P_2^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1&0&0&0 \\ 1&1&0&0 \\ 0&0&1&0 \\ 0&0&1&1 \end{pmatrix}$,

$$sh_2^{(3)}(P_2^{-1} \oplus P_2^{-1}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1&0&0&0 \\ 1&1&0&0 \\ 0&0&1&0 \\ 0&0&1&1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1&0&0&0 \\ 0&0&1&0 \\ 1&1&0&0 \\ 0&0&1&1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0&0&1&0 \\ 1&0&0&0 \\ 1&1&0&0 \\ 0&0&1&1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus,

$$Psh_2^{(3)}(P_2^{-1} \oplus P_2^{-1}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1&0&0&0 \\ 0&1&0&0 \\ -1&-1&1&0 \\ 0&0&0&1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1&0&0&0 \\ -1&0&1&0 \\ 1&1&-1&0 \\ -1&-1&1&1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0&0&1&0 \\ 1&0&-1&0 \\ 0&1&0&0 \\ -1&-1&1&1 \end{pmatrix},$$

which, together with (2.24), proves (2.15).

We can obtain by a straightforward calculation that

$$sh_2^{(4)} \Sigma_{\langle (34) \rangle} = sh_3^{(4)} + sh_3^{(4)}(23) + sh_3^{(4)}(123). \tag{2.27}$$

For brevity, let A denote $P_2^{-1} \oplus I_2$, and let Γ_1, Γ_2, and Γ_3 denote

$$\Gamma_1 = sh_3^{(4)} A, \quad \Gamma_2 = sh_3^{(4)}(23)A, \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_3 = sh_3^{(4)}(123)A,$$

respectively. Since $sh_3^{(4)} = e + (34) + (234) + (1234)$, and since

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1&0&0&0 \\ 1&1&0&0 \\ 0&0&1&0 \\ 0&0&0&1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (23)A = \begin{pmatrix} 1&0&0&0 \\ 0&0&1&0 \\ 1&1&0&0 \\ 0&0&0&1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad (123)A = \begin{pmatrix} 0&0&1&0 \\ 1&0&0&0 \\ 1&1&0&0 \\ 0&0&0&1 \end{pmatrix},$$

direct calculations show that

$$\Gamma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1&0&0&0 \\ 1&1&0&0 \\ 0&0&1&0 \\ 0&0&0&1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1&0&0&0 \\ 1&1&0&0 \\ 0&0&0&1 \\ 0&0&1&0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1&0&0&0 \\ 0&0&0&1 \\ 1&1&0&0 \\ 0&0&1&0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0&0&0&1 \\ 1&0&0&0 \\ 1&1&0&0 \\ 0&0&1&0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\Gamma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1&0&0&0 \\ 0&0&1&0 \\ 1&1&0&0 \\ 0&0&0&1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1&0&0&0 \\ 0&0&1&0 \\ 0&0&0&1 \\ 1&1&0&0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1&0&0&0 \\ 0&0&0&1 \\ 0&0&1&0 \\ 1&1&0&0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0&0&0&1 \\ 1&0&0&0 \\ 0&0&1&0 \\ 1&1&0&0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\Gamma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0&0&1&0 \\ 1&0&0&0 \\ 1&1&0&0 \\ 0&0&0&1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0&0&1&0 \\ 1&0&0&0 \\ 0&0&0&1 \\ 1&1&0&0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0&0&1&0 \\ 0&0&0&1 \\ 1&0&0&0 \\ 1&1&0&0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0&0&0&1 \\ 0&0&1&0 \\ 1&0&0&0 \\ 1&1&0&0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus,

$$P\Gamma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1&0&0&0 \\ 0&1&0&0 \\ -1&-1&1&0 \\ 0&0&-1&1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1&0&0&0 \\ 0&1&0&0 \\ -1&-1&0&1 \\ 0&0&1&-1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1&0&0&0 \\ -1&0&0&1 \\ 1&1&0&-1 \\ -1&-1&1&0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0&0&0&1 \\ 1&0&0&-1 \\ 0&1&0&0 \\ -1&-1&1&0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$P\Gamma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1&0&0&0 \\ -1&0&1&0 \\ 1&1&-1&0 \\ -1&-1&0&1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1&0&0&0 \\ -1&0&1&0 \\ 0&0&-1&1 \\ 1&1&0&-1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1&0&0&0 \\ -1&0&0&1 \\ 0&0&1&-1 \\ 1&1&-1&0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0&0&0&1 \\ 1&0&0&-1 \\ -1&0&1&0 \\ 1&1&-1&0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$P\Gamma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0&0&1&0 \\ 1&0&-1&0 \\ 0&1&0&0 \\ -1&-1&0&1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0&0&1&0 \\ 1&0&-1&0 \\ -1&0&0&1 \\ 1&1&0&-1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0&0&1&0 \\ 0&0&-1&1 \\ 1&0&0&-1 \\ 0&1&0&0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0&0&0&1 \\ 0&0&1&-1 \\ 1&0&-1&0 \\ 0&1&0&0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Because of (2.27), the sum of the left-hand sides of these equations is

$$\begin{aligned} P(\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 + \Gamma_3) &= P(sh_3^{(4)} + sh_3^{(4)}(23) + sh_3^{(4)}(123))A \\ &= Psh_2^{(4)} \Sigma_{\langle (34) \rangle}(P_2^{-1} \oplus I_2). \end{aligned}$$

Since, by (2.25), the sum of the right-hand sides is $\Psi\Phi Q$, we obtain (2.16). $\square$

2.2 A relation between $\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{Ш}}$ and $\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}$

The purpose of this subsection is to prove the following relation between $\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{Ш}}$ and $\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}$.

PROPOSITION 2.7. *We have*

$$0 = (\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{Ш}} - \underline{\mathfrak{Q}})|(P\Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}_4} - (\Psi\Phi Q - \Phi R - \Psi S + I)\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4}). \tag{2.28}$$

We begin by defining the notation and terminology that we will use for proving Proposition 2.7. Let $\mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{R}^4]$ be the free module on $\mathbb{R}^4$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, where we consider an element in $\mathbb{R}^4$ as a row vector. The group ring $\mathbb{Q}[GL_n(\mathbb{Z})]$ acts on $\mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{R}^4]$ on the right in the standard way:

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \Gamma := \sum_{j,k} a_j b_k \mathbf{x}_j M_k, \tag{2.29}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \sum_j a_j \mathbf{x}_j \in \mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{R}^4]$ and $\Gamma = \sum_k b_k M_k \in \mathbb{Q}[GL_n(\mathbb{Z})]$. For any function $f(\cdot)$ with the domain $\mathbb{R}^4$, we extend it to a function $f[\cdot]$ with the domain $\mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{R}^4]$ as

$$f[\boldsymbol{\alpha}] := \sum_j a_j f(\mathbf{x}_j). \tag{2.30}$$

We assign the same symbol f for the extension; we will use parentheses ( ) as usual if the argument is a vector in $\mathbb{R}^4$, but we will use square brackets [ ] if the argument is an element in $\mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{R}^4]$. It is important to pay attention to whether parentheses or square brackets are used. For example, if we set $\mathbf{u} = (1,1,1,1)$, $\mathbf{v} = (0,1,0,1)$, and $\mathbf{w} = (1,-1,1,-1)$, then $f(\mathbf{u} - 2\mathbf{v}) = f(\mathbf{w})$ and $f[\mathbf{u} - 2\mathbf{v}] = f(\mathbf{u}) - 2f(\mathbf{v})$, that is, $f(\mathbf{u} - 2\mathbf{v}) \neq f[\mathbf{u} - 2\mathbf{v}]$. We can easily see that $f[\cdot]$ is homomorphic, i.e., $f[\boldsymbol{\alpha} + \boldsymbol{\beta}] = f[\boldsymbol{\alpha}] + f[\boldsymbol{\beta}]$ for any $\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{R}^4]$. We can also see from the definition of the action of Γ on $f(\cdot)$ that

$$\sum_j a_j (f|\Gamma)(\mathbf{x}_j) = \sum_j a_j \left(\sum_k b_k f(\mathbf{x}_j M_k^{-1}) \right) = \sum_{j,k} a_j b_k f(\mathbf{x}_j M_k^{-1}) = f[\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \Gamma^{-1}],$$

where Γ^{-1} denotes $\sum b_k M_k^{-1}$. Thus, we can extend the action on $f(\cdot)$ to $f[\cdot]$ as

$$(f|\Gamma)[\boldsymbol{\alpha}] := f[\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \Gamma^{-1}]. \tag{2.31}$$

Let $\mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ be the ring of square matrices of order n over $\mathbb{Z}$. We define a block diagonal matrix J in $\mathrm{M}_4(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus GL_4(\mathbb{Z})$ by

$$J := (0) \oplus I_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0&0&0&0 \\ 0&1&0&0 \\ 0&0&1&0 \\ 0&0&0&1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We denote by $\mathbb{Q}[\mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{Z})]$ the free algebra on $\mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. The free algebra $\mathbb{Q}[\mathrm{M}_4(\mathbb{Z})]$ will be necessary when considering elements ΓJ for $\Gamma \in \mathbb{Q}[GL_4(\mathbb{Z})]$, since $\Gamma J \notin \mathbb{Q}[GL_4(\mathbb{Z})]$ but $\Gamma J \in \mathbb{Q}[\mathrm{M}_4(\mathbb{Z})]$.

We require Lemma 2.8 for proving Proposition 2.7.

LEMMA 2.8. *We have the following equations in* $\mathbb{Q}[\mathrm{M}_4(\mathbb{Z})]$*:*

$$(P\Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}_4})^{-1} J = \Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}_4} T_1, \tag{2.32}$$

$$(\Psi\Phi Q\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4})^{-1} J = \Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}_4} T_1 + \Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4}(e + (234))(T_2 + T_3 + T_4), \tag{2.33}$$

$$(\Phi R\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4})^{-1} J = \Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4}(234)(T_2 + T_3 + T_4), \tag{2.34}$$

$$(\Psi S \Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4})^{-1} J = \Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4}(T_2 + T_3 + T_4 + J), \tag{2.35}$$

where T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4 *are the matrices in* $\mathrm{M}_4(\mathbb{Z})$ *given by*

$$T_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0&0&0&0\\ 0&1&0&0\\ 0&1&1&0\\ 0&1&1&1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0&0&0&0\\ 0&1&0&0\\ 0&0&1&0\\ 0&1&1&1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0&0&0&0\\ 0&1&0&0\\ 0&0&1&1\\ 0&1&1&0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0&0&0&0\\ 0&1&1&0\\ 0&0&0&1\\ 0&1&0&0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.36}$$

We now prove Proposition 2.7. We will then prove Lemma 2.8.

Proof of Proposition 2.7. Let $\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}}_J$ be the formal power series defined by

$$\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}}_J(\mathbf{x}) := \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}}(\mathbf{x}J) \;=\; \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}}(0, x_2, x_3, x_4). \tag{2.37}$$

We can easily see that

$$\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}}_J = \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}} - \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}. \tag{2.38}$$

In fact, by the definition of the admissible index sets, we have

$$\{(l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4) \in \mathbb{N}^4\} \setminus \{(l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4) : \mathbf{adm}\} = \{(l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4) \in \mathbb{N}^4 \,|\, l_1 = 1\},$$

and so we obtain from (1.11) and (1.12) that

$$(\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}} - \underline{\mathfrak{Q}})(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{l} \\ \left(\substack{\mathrm{d}(\mathbf{l})=4 \\ l_1=1}\right)}} \zeta^{\text{ш}}(l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4) x_1^{l_1-1} x_2^{l_2-1} x_3^{l_3-1} x_4^{l_4-1} \;=\; \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}}(0, x_2, x_3, x_4),$$

which verifies (2.38).

Because of the definitions (2.31) and (2.37), we can deduce from (2.32), (2.33), (2.34), and (2.35) that

$$\begin{aligned}
(\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}}_J | P\Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}_4})(\mathbf{x}) &= \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}}[\mathbf{x} \cdot \Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}_4} T_1], \\
(\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}}_J | \Psi\Phi Q\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4})(\mathbf{x}) &= \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}}[\mathbf{x} \cdot (\Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}_4} T_1 + \Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4}(e + (234))(T_2 + T_3 + T_4))], \\
(\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}}_J | \Phi R\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4})(\mathbf{x}) &= \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}}[\mathbf{x} \cdot \Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4}(234)(T_2 + T_3 + T_4)], \\
(\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}}_J | \Psi S\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4})(\mathbf{x}) &= \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}}[\mathbf{x} \cdot \Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4}(T_2 + T_3 + T_4 + J)],
\end{aligned}$$

respectively. By these identities, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}}_J | P\Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}_4} - \Psi\Phi Q\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4} + \Phi R\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4} + \Psi S\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4})(\mathbf{x}) \\
&\quad = (\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}}_J | P\Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}_4})(\mathbf{x}) - (\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}}_J | \Psi\Phi Q\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4})(\mathbf{x}) + (\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}}_J | \Phi R\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4})(\mathbf{x}) + (\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}}_J | \Psi S\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4})(\mathbf{x}) \\
&\quad = \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}}[\mathbf{x} \cdot \Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}_4} T_1] - \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}}[\mathbf{x} \cdot (\Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}_4} T_1 + \Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4}(e + (234))(T_2 + T_3 + T_4))] \\
&\qquad + \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}}[\mathbf{x} \cdot \Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4}(234)(T_2 + T_3 + T_4)] + \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}}[\mathbf{x} \cdot \Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4}(T_2 + T_3 + T_4 + J)] \\
&\quad = \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}}[\mathbf{x} \cdot \Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4} J].
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}}[\mathbf{x} \cdot \Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4} J] = (\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}}_J | \Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4})(\mathbf{x})$ by definition, this identity is equivalent to

$$0 = \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{ш}}_J | (P\Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}_4} - (\Psi\Phi Q - \Phi R - \Psi S + I)\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4}),$$

which, together with (2.38), proves (2.28). □

Proof of Lemma 2.8. Taking the inverses of the elements on both sides of (2.25), (2.24), and

(2.23), we can obtain the following equations (2.39), (2.40), and (2.41), respectively, after straightforward calculations:

$$\begin{aligned}(\Psi\Phi Q)^{-1} = {} & \begin{pmatrix}1&0&0&0\\0&1&0&0\\1&1&1&0\\1&1&1&1\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}1&0&0&0\\0&1&0&0\\1&1&1&1\\1&1&1&0\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}1&0&0&0\\0&1&1&0\\1&1&1&1\\1&1&0&0\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}1&1&0&0\\0&0&1&0\\1&1&1&1\\1&0&0&0\end{pmatrix} \\ & + \begin{pmatrix}1&0&0&0\\0&1&1&0\\1&1&0&0\\1&1&1&1\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}1&0&0&0\\0&1&1&1\\1&1&0&0\\1&1&1&0\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}1&0&0&0\\0&1&1&1\\1&1&1&0\\1&1&0&0\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}1&1&0&0\\0&0&1&1\\1&1&1&0\\1&0&0&0\end{pmatrix} \\ & + \begin{pmatrix}1&1&0&0\\0&0&1&0\\1&0&0&0\\1&1&1&1\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}1&1&0&0\\0&0&1&1\\1&0&0&0\\1&1&1&0\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}1&1&1&0\\0&0&0&1\\1&0&0&0\\1&1&0&0\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}1&1&1&0\\0&0&0&1\\1&1&0&0\\1&0&0&0\end{pmatrix},\end{aligned} \tag{2.39}$$

$$(\Phi R)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix}1&0&0&0\\0&1&0&0\\1&1&1&0\\0&0&0&1\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}1&0&0&0\\0&1&1&0\\1&1&0&0\\0&0&1&1\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}1&1&0&0\\0&0&1&0\\1&0&0&0\\0&1&1&1\end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.40}$$

$$(\Psi S)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix}1&0&0&0\\0&1&0&0\\0&0&1&0\\1&1&1&1\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}1&0&0&0\\0&1&0&0\\0&0&1&1\\1&1&1&0\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}1&0&0&0\\0&1&1&0\\0&0&0&1\\1&1&0&0\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}1&1&0&0\\0&0&1&0\\0&0&0&1\\1&0&0&0\end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.41}$$

We now prove (2.32). Since $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}_4}^{-1} = \Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}_4}$ by $\mathfrak{S}_4^{-1} = \mathfrak{S}_4$, and since $(\Gamma\Delta)^{-1} = \Delta^{-1}\Gamma^{-1}$ for any $\Gamma, \Delta \in \mathbb{Q}[GL_n(\mathbb{Z})]$, we see that

$$(P\Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}_4})^{-1} = \Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}_4}^{-1}P^{-1} = \Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}_4}\begin{pmatrix}1&0&0&0\\1&1&0&0\\1&1&1&0\\1&1&1&1\end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus,

$$(P\Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}_4})^{-1}J = \Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}_4}\begin{pmatrix}1&0&0&0\\1&1&0&0\\1&1&1&0\\1&1&1&1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0&0\\0&1&0&0\\0&0&1&0\\0&0&0&1\end{pmatrix} = \Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}_4}\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0&0\\0&1&0&0\\0&1&1&0\\0&1&1&1\end{pmatrix} = \Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}_4}T_1,$$

which proves (2.32).

We next prove (2.33). Let $\mathfrak{S}_3'$ denote the subgroup $\langle (23), (234) \rangle$ of $\mathfrak{S}_4$, which is equivalent to the permutation group on the set $\{2, 3, 4\}$. We see from (2.39) that

$$\begin{aligned}&(\Psi\Phi Q)^{-1}J \\ &= \begin{pmatrix}0&0&0&0\\0&1&0&0\\0&1&1&0\\0&1&1&1\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}0&0&0&0\\0&1&0&0\\0&1&1&1\\0&1&1&0\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}0&0&0&0\\0&1&1&0\\0&1&1&1\\0&1&0&0\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}0&1&0&0\\0&0&1&0\\0&1&1&1\\0&0&0&0\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}0&0&0&0\\0&1&1&0\\0&1&0&0\\0&1&1&1\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}0&0&0&0\\0&1&1&1\\0&1&0&0\\0&1&1&0\end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad + \begin{pmatrix}0&0&0&0\\0&1&1&1\\0&1&1&0\\0&1&0&0\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}0&1&0&0\\0&0&1&1\\0&1&1&0\\0&0&0&0\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}0&1&0&0\\0&0&1&0\\0&0&0&0\\0&1&1&1\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}0&1&0&0\\0&0&1&1\\0&0&0&0\\0&1&1&0\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}0&1&1&0\\0&0&0&1\\0&0&0&0\\0&1&0&0\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}0&1&1&0\\0&0&0&1\\0&1&0&0\\0&0&0&0\end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.42}$$

We have by (2.26)

$$\begin{aligned}(13)(24)(234)(T_2+T_3+T_4) &= (13)(24)(234)\left\{\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0&0\\0&1&0&0\\0&0&1&0\\0&1&1&1\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}0&0&0&0\\0&1&0&0\\0&0&1&1\\0&1&1&0\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}0&0&0&0\\0&1&1&0\\0&0&0&1\\0&1&0&0\end{pmatrix}\right\} \\ &= (13)(24)\left\{\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0&0\\0&1&1&1\\0&1&0&0\\0&0&1&0\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}0&0&0&0\\0&1&1&0\\0&1&0&0\\0&0&1&1\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}0&0&0&0\\0&1&0&0\\0&1&1&0\\0&0&0&1\end{pmatrix}\right\} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix}0&1&0&0\\0&0&1&0\\0&0&0&0\\0&1&1&1\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}0&1&0&0\\0&0&1&1\\0&0&0&0\\0&1&1&0\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}0&1&1&0\\0&0&0&1\\0&0&0&0\\0&1&0&0\end{pmatrix},\end{aligned}$$

which can be summarized as

$$(13)(24)(234)(T_2+T_3+T_4) = \begin{pmatrix}0&1&0&0\\0&0&1&0\\0&0&0&0\\0&1&1&1\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}0&1&0&0\\0&0&1&1\\0&0&0&0\\0&1&1&0\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}0&1&1&0\\0&0&0&1\\0&0&0&0\\0&1&0&0\end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.43}$$

Similarly,

$$(1432)(T_2+T_3+T_4) = \begin{pmatrix}0&1&0&0\\0&0&1&0\\0&1&1&1\\0&0&0&0\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}0&1&0&0\\0&0&1&1\\0&1&1&0\\0&0&0&0\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}0&1&1&0\\0&0&0&1\\0&1&0&0\\0&0&0&0\end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.44}$$

and

$$\Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}_3'} T_1 = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0&0&0&0\\ 0&1&0&0\\ 0&1&1&0\\ 0&1&1&1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) + \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0&0&0&0\\ 0&1&0&0\\ 0&1&1&1\\ 0&1&1&0 \end{smallmatrix}\right) + \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0&0&0&0\\ 0&1&1&0\\ 0&1&0&0\\ 0&1&1&1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) + \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0&0&0&0\\ 0&1&1&0\\ 0&1&1&1\\ 0&1&0&0 \end{smallmatrix}\right) + \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0&0&0&0\\ 0&1&1&1\\ 0&1&0&0\\ 0&1&1&0 \end{smallmatrix}\right) + \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0&0&0&0\\ 0&1&1&1\\ 0&1&1&0\\ 0&1&0&0 \end{smallmatrix}\right). \tag{2.45}$$

Since the right-hand side of (2.42) is equal to the sum of those of (2.43), (2.44), and (2.45), we obtain

$$(\Psi\Phi Q)^{-1} J = \Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}_3'} T_1 + ((1432) + (13)(24)(234))(T_2 + T_3 + T_4),$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} (\Psi\Phi Q \Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4})^{-1} J &= \Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4}^{-1} (\Psi\Phi Q)^{-1} J \\ &= \Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4} \big(\Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}_3'} T_1 + ((1432) + (13)(24)(234))(T_2 + T_3 + T_4) \big). \end{aligned} \tag{2.46}$$

Direct calculations show that $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}_4} = \Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4} \Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}_3'}$, and $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4} = \Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4}(1432) = \Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4}(13)(24)$. Thus, the right-hand side of (2.46) is

$$(\text{RHS of (2.46)}) = \Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}_4} T_1 + \Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4}(e + (234))(T_2 + T_3 + T_4),$$

which proves (2.33).

We can prove (2.34) and (2.35) in a similar way to (2.33), as follows. We can deduce from (2.40) that

$$(\Phi R)^{-1} J = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0&1&0&0\\ 0&0&1&0\\ 0&0&0&0\\ 0&1&1&1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) + \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0&0&0&0\\ 0&1&1&0\\ 0&1&0&0\\ 0&0&1&1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) + \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0&0&0&0\\ 0&1&0&0\\ 0&1&1&0\\ 0&0&0&1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) = (13)(24)(234) T_2 + (234) T_3 + (234) T_4,$$

and so we obtain

$$(\Phi R \Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4})^{-1} J = \Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4}^{-1} (\Phi R)^{-1} J = \Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4} (234)(T_2 + T_3 + T_4),$$

which proves (2.34). We can deduce from (2.41) that

$$(\Psi S)^{-1} J = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0&0&0&0\\ 0&1&0&0\\ 0&0&1&0\\ 0&1&1&1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) + \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0&0&0&0\\ 0&1&0&0\\ 0&0&1&1\\ 0&1&1&0 \end{smallmatrix}\right) + \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0&0&0&0\\ 0&1&1&0\\ 0&0&0&1\\ 0&1&0&0 \end{smallmatrix}\right) + \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0&1&0&0\\ 0&0&1&0\\ 0&0&0&1\\ 0&0&0&0 \end{smallmatrix}\right) = T_2 + T_3 + T_4 + (1432) J,$$

and so we obtain

$$(\Psi S \Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4})^{-1} J = \Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4}^{-1} (\Psi S)^{-1} J = \Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4} (T_2 + T_3 + T_4 + J),$$

which proves (2.35), and this completes the proof. □

3 Proofs

3.1 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We begin by showing Lemma 3.1.

LEMMA 3.1. *We have the following identity in $\mathbb{R}[[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]]$:*

$$\underline{\mathfrak{S}}^{\mathrm{III}\,\otimes 4} - \underline{\mathfrak{D}}^{\mathrm{III}} \otimes \underline{\mathfrak{S}}^{\mathrm{III}\,\otimes 2} | \Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4} + \underline{\mathfrak{D}}^{\mathrm{III}\,\otimes 2} | \Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4^0} + \underline{\mathfrak{T}}^{\mathrm{III}} \otimes \underline{\mathfrak{S}}^{\mathrm{III}} | \Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4} - \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\mathrm{III}} | \Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4} = \underline{\mathfrak{q}}. \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. For any $\mathbf{l} = (l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4) \in \mathbb{N}^4$ and $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in \mathbb{R}^4$, let $t_{\mathbf{l}}(\mathbf{x})$ denote the term $x_1^{l_1-1}x_2^{l_2-1}x_3^{l_3-1}x_4^{l_4-1}$. Let $\zeta_4^{\shuffle}$ mean the real-valued function of four variables with the domain $\mathbb{N}^4$ determined by $\zeta_4^{\shuffle}(\mathbf{l}) = \zeta^{\shuffle}(\mathbf{l})$. A straightforward calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{l}\\(\mathrm{d}(\mathbf{l})=4)}} (\zeta_4^{\shuffle}|\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4})(\mathbf{l})t_{\mathbf{l}}(\mathbf{x})\\
&\quad= \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{l}\\(\mathrm{d}(\mathbf{l})=4)}} \sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{C}_4} \zeta^{\shuffle}(l_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, l_{\sigma^{-1}(2)}, l_{\sigma^{-1}(3)}, l_{\sigma^{-1}(4)})x_1^{l_1-1}x_2^{l_2-1}x_3^{l_3-1}x_4^{l_4-1}\\
&\quad= \sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{C}_4} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{l}\\(\mathrm{d}(\mathbf{l})=4)}} \zeta^{\shuffle}(l_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, l_{\sigma^{-1}(2)}, l_{\sigma^{-1}(3)}, l_{\sigma^{-1}(4)})x_1^{l_1-1}x_2^{l_2-1}x_3^{l_3-1}x_4^{l_4-1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Since the map $\phi_\sigma : \mathbb{N}^4 \to \mathbb{N}^4$ defined by $\phi_\sigma(l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4) = (l_{\sigma(1)}, l_{\sigma(2)}, l_{\sigma(3)}, l_{\sigma(4)})$ is bijective,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{l}\\(\mathrm{d}(\mathbf{l})=4)}} \zeta^{\shuffle}(l_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, l_{\sigma^{-1}(2)}, l_{\sigma^{-1}(3)}, l_{\sigma^{-1}(4)})x_1^{l_1-1}x_2^{l_2-1}x_3^{l_3-1}x_4^{l_4-1}\\
&\quad= \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{l}\\(\mathrm{d}(\mathbf{l})=4)}} \zeta^{\shuffle}(l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4)x_1^{l_{\sigma(1)}-1}x_2^{l_{\sigma(2)}-1}x_3^{l_{\sigma(3)}-1}x_4^{l_{\sigma(4)}-1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Since $x_1^{l_{\sigma(1)}-1}x_2^{l_{\sigma(2)}-1}x_3^{l_{\sigma(3)}-1}x_4^{l_{\sigma(4)}-1} = x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}^{l_1-1}x_{\sigma^{-1}(2)}^{l_2-1}x_{\sigma^{-1}(3)}^{l_3-1}x_{\sigma^{-1}(4)}^{l_4-1}$,

$$\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{l}\\(\mathrm{d}(\mathbf{l})=4)}} \zeta^{\shuffle}(l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4)x_1^{l_{\sigma(1)}-1}x_2^{l_{\sigma(2)}-1}x_3^{l_{\sigma(3)}-1}x_4^{l_{\sigma(4)}-1} = (\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\shuffle}|\sigma)(\mathbf{x}). \tag{3.4}$$

Combining (3.2), (3.3), and (3.4), we obtain

$$\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{l}\\(\mathrm{d}(\mathbf{l})=4)}} (\zeta_4^{\shuffle}|\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4})(\mathbf{l})t_{\mathbf{l}}(\mathbf{x}) = (\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\shuffle}|\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4})(\mathbf{x}). \tag{3.5}$$

Similarly, we can obtain

$$\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{l}\\(\mathrm{d}(\mathbf{l})=4)}} \zeta_1^{\shuffle\otimes 4}(\mathbf{l})t_{\mathbf{l}}(\mathbf{x}) = \underline{\mathfrak{S}}^{\shuffle\otimes 4}(\mathbf{x}), \tag{3.6}$$

$$\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{l}\\(\mathrm{d}(\mathbf{l})=4)}} (\zeta_2^{\shuffle} \otimes \zeta_1^{\shuffle\otimes 2}|\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4})(\mathbf{l})t_{\mathbf{l}}(\mathbf{x}) = (\underline{\mathfrak{D}}^{\shuffle} \otimes \underline{\mathfrak{S}}^{\shuffle\otimes 2}|\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4})(\mathbf{x}), \tag{3.7}$$

$$\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{l}\\(\mathrm{d}(\mathbf{l})=4)}} (\zeta_2^{\shuffle\otimes 2}|\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4^0})(\mathbf{l})t_{\mathbf{l}}(\mathbf{x}) = (\underline{\mathfrak{D}}^{\shuffle\otimes 2}|\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4^0})(\mathbf{x}), \tag{3.8}$$

$$\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{l}\\(\mathrm{d}(\mathbf{l})=4)}} (\zeta_3^{\shuffle} \otimes \zeta_1^{\shuffle}|\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4})(\mathbf{l})t_{\mathbf{l}}(\mathbf{x}) = (\underline{\mathfrak{T}}^{\shuffle} \otimes \underline{\mathfrak{S}}^{\shuffle}|\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4})(\mathbf{x}). \tag{3.9}$$

The following identity was given in [16, Theorem 1.1]:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\zeta_4^{\shuffle}|\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4})(\mathbf{l}) &= \zeta_1^{\shuffle\otimes 4}(\mathbf{l}) - (\zeta_2^{\shuffle} \otimes \zeta_1^{\shuffle\otimes 2}|\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4})(\mathbf{l}) + (\zeta_2^{\shuffle\otimes 2}|\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4^0})(\mathbf{l})\\
&\quad+(\zeta_3^{\shuffle} \otimes \zeta_1^{\shuffle}|\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4})(\mathbf{l}) - \chi_4^{\shuffle}(\mathbf{l})\zeta_1(l_1 + l_2 + l_3 + l_4),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

where $\chi^{\text{Ш}}$ is the characteristic function of the set $\mathbb{N}^4$ such that $\chi^{\text{Ш}}(l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4) = 0$ if $l_1 = l_2 = l_3 = l_4 = 1$ and 1 otherwise. By virtue of (3.5), (3.6), (3.7), (3.8), and (3.9), multiplying both sides of (3.10) by $t_{\mathbf{l}}(\mathbf{x})$ and summing up over all index sets $\mathbf{l} \in \mathbb{N}^4$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{Ш}}|\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4})(\mathbf{x}) = {} & \underline{\mathfrak{S}}^{\text{Ш}\otimes 4}(\mathbf{x}) - (\underline{\mathfrak{D}}^{\text{Ш}} \otimes \underline{\mathfrak{S}}^{\text{Ш}\otimes 2}|\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4})(\mathbf{x}) + (\underline{\mathfrak{D}}^{\text{Ш}\otimes 2}|\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4^0})(\mathbf{x}) \\ & + (\underline{\mathfrak{T}}^{\text{Ш}} \otimes \underline{\mathfrak{S}}^{\text{Ш}}|\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4})(\mathbf{x}) - \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{l} \\ (\mathrm{d}(\mathbf{l})=4)}} \chi_4^{\text{Ш}}(\mathbf{l})\zeta(l_1 + l_2 + l_3 + l_4)t_{\mathbf{l}}(\mathbf{x}).\end{aligned}$$

This proves (3.1), since $\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{l} \\ (\mathrm{d}(\mathbf{l})=4)}} \chi_4^{\text{Ш}}(\mathbf{l})\zeta(l_1 + l_2 + l_3 + l_4)t_{\mathbf{l}}(\mathbf{x}) = \underline{\mathfrak{q}}(\mathbf{x})$ by (1.3). ☐

We are now able to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $\mathfrak{C}_4^0$ be the subset $\{e, (1234)\}$ in $\mathfrak{C}_4$. We see from (2.9), (2.10), (2.11), and (2.12) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{Ш}}|P\Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}_4} - \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{Ш}}|\Psi\Phi Q\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4} + \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{Ш}}|\Phi R\Sigma_{\langle(13)(24)\rangle}\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4^0} + \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{Ш}}|\Psi S\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4} - \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{Ш}}|\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4} \\ & = \underline{\mathfrak{S}}^{\text{Ш}\otimes 4} - \underline{\mathfrak{D}}^{\text{Ш}} \otimes \underline{\mathfrak{S}}^{\text{Ш}\otimes 2}|\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4} + \underline{\mathfrak{D}}^{\text{Ш}\otimes 2}|\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4^0} + \underline{\mathfrak{T}}^{\text{Ш}} \otimes \underline{\mathfrak{S}}^{\text{Ш}}|\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4} - \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{Ш}}|\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4}.\end{aligned}$$

The left-hand side of this identity is $\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{Ш}}|(P\Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}_4} - (\Psi\Phi Q - \Phi R - \Psi S + I)\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4})$ by $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4} = \Sigma_{\langle(13)(24)\rangle}\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4^0}$, and the right-hand side is $\underline{\mathfrak{q}}$ by (3.1). Thus,

$$\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\text{Ш}}|(P\Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}_4} - (\Psi\Phi Q - \Phi R - \Psi S + I)\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4}) = \underline{\mathfrak{q}},$$

which, together with (2.28), proves (1.6). ☐

3.2 Proof of Corollary 1.2

We will prove the formulas in Corollary 1.2 by taking the homogeneous parts on both sides of (1.6) and substituting appropriate values for the variables. Although the method of the proof is simple, it requires many calculations, and so we begin by introducing some notation and terminology, which will be useful for presenting the calculations.

For any integer $l > 4$, let $\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}_l$ and $\underline{\mathfrak{q}}_l$ be the homogeneous parts of degree $l - 4$ of $\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}$ and $\underline{\mathfrak{q}}$, respectively. Equivalently, these can be defined as

$$\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}_l(\mathbf{x}) := \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{l}:\mathrm{adm} \\ \left(\substack{\mathrm{d}(\mathbf{l})=4 \\ \mathrm{w}(\mathbf{l})=l}\right)}} \zeta(l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4)x_1^{l_1-1}x_2^{l_2-1}x_3^{l_3-1}x_4^{l_4-1}, \tag{3.11}$$

$$\underline{\mathfrak{q}}_l(\mathbf{x}) := \zeta(l) \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{l} \\ \left(\substack{\mathrm{d}(\mathbf{l})=4 \\ \mathrm{w}(\mathbf{l})=l}\right)}} x_1^{l_1-1}x_2^{l_2-1}x_3^{l_3-1}x_4^{l_4-1}. \tag{3.12}$$

For brevity, we will let $\Omega = P\Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}_4} - (\Psi\Phi Q - \Phi R - \Psi S + I)\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4}$. We can rewrite (1.6) as

$$\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}_l|\Omega = \underline{\mathfrak{q}}_l. \tag{3.13}$$

Let $\mathbf{e}_{abcd}$ denote the row vector (a, b, c, d) for integers a, b, c, d. We define a congruence relation $\equiv$ on $\mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{Z}^4]$ such that $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \equiv \boldsymbol{\beta}$ if and only if $\boldsymbol{\alpha} - \boldsymbol{\beta}$ is in the submodule generated by vectors $\mathbf{e}_{abcd}$ with $a = 0$. For example, $\mathbf{e}_{0121} \equiv 0$ and $2\mathbf{e}_{1010} + 3\mathbf{e}_{0101} \equiv 2\mathbf{e}_{1010}$. We can easily see that $\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}_l(\mathbf{e}_{abcd}) = 0$ for any vector $\mathbf{e}_{abcd}$ with $a = 0$, because x_1 divides $\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}_l(\mathbf{x})$. Thus,

$$\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}_l[\boldsymbol{\alpha}] = \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}_l[\boldsymbol{\beta}]$$

for $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \equiv \boldsymbol{\beta}$, by the definition (2.30).

We require Proposition 3.2 for proving Corollary 1.2.

PROPOSITION 3.2. *We have the following congruence equations in* $\mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{Z}^4]$*:*

$$\mathbf{e}_{1000} \cdot \Omega^{-1} \equiv \mathbf{e}_{1111}, \tag{3.14}$$

$$\mathbf{e}_{1100} \cdot \Omega^{-1} \equiv 2(\mathbf{e}_{2221} - \mathbf{e}_{1221}) + (\mathbf{e}_{2211} + \mathbf{e}_{2111} - \mathbf{e}_{1211}) - 3\mathbf{e}_{1111}, \tag{3.15}$$

$$\left(\mathbf{e}_{1100} - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{e}_{1010}\right) \cdot \Omega^{-1} \equiv \mathbf{e}_{2111} + 2\mathbf{e}_{1121} - 3\mathbf{e}_{1111}, \tag{3.16}$$

$$\left(\mathbf{e}_{1100} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{e}_{1010} + \mathbf{e}_{1110} + \frac{1}{4}\mathbf{e}_{1111}\right) \cdot \Omega^{-1} \equiv 6(\mathbf{e}_{4321} - \mathbf{e}_{2321}) - 2\mathbf{e}_{2121} - \mathbf{e}_{1111}. \tag{3.17}$$

We now prove Corollary 1.2. We will then discuss a proof of Proposition 3.2.

Proof of Corollary 1.2. Let $|X|$ denote the number of the elements of a set X. We can see that, for integers $k \geq 0$ and $n \geq 1$,

$$|\{(k_1, \dots, k_n) \mid k_1, \dots, k_n \geq 0, k_1 + \cdots + k_n = k\}| = \binom{k+n-1}{n-1}. \tag{3.18}$$

In fact, by the correspondence

$$k_1 + k_2 + \cdots + k_n \leftrightarrow (\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{k_1}, 0, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{k_2}, 0, \cdots, 0, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{k_n}),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(\text{LHS of } (3.18)) \\ &= |\{(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{k+n-1}) \in \{0,1\}^{k+n-1} \mid \text{the number of instances of } \varepsilon_i = 0 \text{ is } n-1\}| \\ &= \binom{k+n-1}{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

which verifies (3.18).

Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$ be a vector in $\{0,1\}^4$ with $\mathbf{x} \neq (0,0,0,0)$, and let n be the number of instances of $x_i = 1$. Note that $n \geq 1$ since $\mathbf{x} \neq (0,0,0,0)$. We can see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{l} \\ \left(\substack{\mathrm{d}(\mathbf{l})=4 \\ \mathrm{w}(\mathbf{l})=l}\right)}} x_1^{l_1-1} x_2^{l_2-1} x_3^{l_3-1} x_4^{l_4-1} \\ &= |\{\mathbf{l} \in \mathbb{N}^4 \mid l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4 \geq 1,\ l_1 + l_2 + l_3 + l_4 = l, \text{ and } l_a = 1 \text{ if } x_a = 0\}| \\ &= |\{\mathbf{l} \in \mathbb{N}^4 \mid l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4 \geq 0,\ l_1 + l_2 + l_3 + l_4 = l - 4, \text{ and } l_a = 0 \text{ if } x_a = 0\}| \\ &= |\{\mathbf{l} \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid l_1, \dots, l_n \geq 0,\ l_1 + \cdots + l_n = l - 4\}|, \end{aligned}$$

which, together with (3.18), gives

$$\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{l} \\ \left(\substack{\mathrm{d}(\mathbf{l})=4 \\ \mathrm{w}(\mathbf{l})=l}\right)}} x_1^{l_1-1} x_2^{l_2-1} x_3^{l_3-1} x_4^{l_4-1} = \binom{l+n-5}{n-1}.$$

By the definition (3.12), we thus obtain

$$\underline{\mathfrak{q}}_l(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \zeta(l) & (\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{e}_{1000}), \\ (l-3)\zeta(l) & (\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{e}_{1100}, \mathbf{e}_{1010}), \\ \dfrac{(l-2)(l-3)}{2}\zeta(l) & (\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{e}_{1110}), \\ \dfrac{(l-1)(l-2)(l-3)}{6}\zeta(l) & (\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{e}_{1111}). \end{cases} \tag{3.19}$$

We now prove the desired formulas. We see from (3.14) and (3.19) that

$$(\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}_l|\Omega)(\mathbf{e}_{1000}) = \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}_l(\mathbf{e}_{1111}) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \underline{\mathfrak{q}}_l(\mathbf{e}_{1000}) = \zeta(l),$$

respectively. Combining these equations and (3.13), we obtain

$$\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}_l(\mathbf{e}_{1111}) = \zeta(l). \tag{3.20}$$

Using (3.11), we can rewriting the left-hand side of (3.20) as

$$(\text{LHS of (3.20)}) = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{l}:\mathrm{adm} \\ \left(\substack{\mathsf{d}(\mathbf{l})=4 \\ \mathsf{w}(\mathbf{l})=l}\right)}} \zeta(l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4),$$

and so we prove (1.7). Combining (3.13), (3.15), and (3.19), we similarly obtain $2(\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}_l(\mathbf{e}_{2221}) - \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}_l(\mathbf{e}_{1221})) + \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}_l(\mathbf{e}_{2211}) + \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}_l(\mathbf{e}_{2111}) - \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}_l(\mathbf{e}_{1211}) - 3\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}_l(\mathbf{e}_{1111}) = (l-3)\zeta(l)$, which is equivalent to, by (3.20),

$$2(\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}_l(\mathbf{e}_{2221}) - \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}_l(\mathbf{e}_{1221})) + \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}_l(\mathbf{e}_{2211}) + \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}_l(\mathbf{e}_{2111}) - \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}_l(\mathbf{e}_{1211}) = l\zeta(l).$$

Rewriting the left-hand side of this equation using (3.11), we prove (1.8). Combining (3.13), (3.16), and (3.19), we also obtain $\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}_l(\mathbf{e}_{2111}) + 2\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}_l(\mathbf{e}_{1121}) - 3\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}_l(\mathbf{e}_{1111}) = \left((l-3) - \frac{l-3}{2}\right)\zeta(l)$, which is equivalent to

$$\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}_l(\mathbf{e}_{2111}) + 2\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}_l(\mathbf{e}_{1121}) = \frac{l+3}{2}\zeta(l).$$

Multiplying both sides of this equation by 2 and rewriting the left-hand side using (3.11), we prove (1.9). In a way similar to the above, we can deduce from (3.13), (3.17), (3.19), and (3.20) that

$$\begin{aligned} &6(\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}_l(\mathbf{e}_{4321}) - \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}_l(\mathbf{e}_{2321})) - 2\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}_l(\mathbf{e}_{2121}) \\ &\quad = \left((l-3) + \frac{l-3}{2} + \frac{(l-2)(l-3)}{2} + \frac{(l-1)(l-2)(l-3)}{24} + 1\right)\zeta(l). \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

The left-hand side of this equation is

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{LHS of (3.21)}) &= \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{l}:\mathrm{adm} \\ \left(\substack{\mathsf{d}(\mathbf{l})=4 \\ \mathsf{w}(\mathbf{l})=l}\right)}} (4^{l_1-1}3^{l_2}2^{l_3} - 3^{l_2}2^{l_1+l_3-1} - 2^{l_1+l_3-1})\zeta(\mathbf{l}) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{l}:\mathrm{adm} \\ \left(\substack{\mathsf{d}(\mathbf{l})=4 \\ \mathsf{w}(\mathbf{l})=l}\right)}} (3^{l_2}2^{l_1-1} - 3^{l_2} - 1)2^{l_1+l_3-1}\zeta(\mathbf{l}), \end{aligned}$$

and the right-hand side is

$$(\text{RHS of }(3.21)) = \left(\frac{(l+7)(l+2)(l-3)}{24}+1\right)\zeta(l).$$

Thus, multiplying both sides of (3.21) by 2, we obtain (1.10), which completes the proof. $\square$

We require Lemma 3.3 for proving Proposition 3.2.

LEMMA 3.3. *We have the following congruence equations in* $\mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{Z}^4]$*:*
(i)

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{x}\cdot(P\Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}_4})^{-1} \\ &\equiv \begin{cases} 6(\mathbf{e}_{1111}+\mathbf{e}_{1110}+\mathbf{e}_{1100}+\mathbf{e}_{1000}) & (\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1000}), \\ 4(\mathbf{e}_{2221}+\mathbf{e}_{2211}+\mathbf{e}_{2210}+\mathbf{e}_{2111}+\mathbf{e}_{2110}+\mathbf{e}_{2100}) & (\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1100},\mathbf{e}_{1010}), \\ 6(\mathbf{e}_{3321}+\mathbf{e}_{3221}+\mathbf{e}_{3211}+\mathbf{e}_{3210}) & (\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1110}), \\ 24\mathbf{e}_{4321} & (\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1111}). \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{x}\cdot(\Psi\Phi Q\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4})^{-1} \\ &\equiv \begin{cases} 12\mathbf{e}_{1000}+10\mathbf{e}_{1100}+8\mathbf{e}_{1110}+6\mathbf{e}_{1111} & (\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1000}), \\[1ex] 6(\mathbf{e}_{2100}+\mathbf{e}_{1111})+5\mathbf{e}_{2110} & \\ +4(\mathbf{e}_{2210}+\mathbf{e}_{2111}+\mathbf{e}_{1110})+3\mathbf{e}_{2211} & \\ +2(\mathbf{e}_{2221}+\mathbf{e}_{1221}+\mathbf{e}_{1211}+\mathbf{e}_{1210}+\mathbf{e}_{1121}+\mathbf{e}_{1100}) & \\ +\mathbf{e}_{1101}+\mathbf{e}_{1011}+\mathbf{e}_{1010}+\mathbf{e}_{1001} & (\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1100}), \\[1ex] 8\mathbf{e}_{2100}+6\mathbf{e}_{2110} & \\ +4(\mathbf{e}_{2210}+\mathbf{e}_{2111}+\mathbf{e}_{1221}+\mathbf{e}_{1211}+\mathbf{e}_{1210}+\mathbf{e}_{1121}) & \\ 2(\mathbf{e}_{2211}+\mathbf{e}_{1101}+\mathbf{e}_{1011}+\mathbf{e}_{1010}+\mathbf{e}_{1001}) & (\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1010}), \\[1ex] 8\mathbf{e}_{2221}+6(\mathbf{e}_{3210}+\mathbf{e}_{2321}+\mathbf{e}_{2211}) & \\ +4(\mathbf{e}_{3211}+\mathbf{e}_{2210}+\mathbf{e}_{2121}+\mathbf{e}_{2111}) & \\ +2(\mathbf{e}_{3221}+\mathbf{e}_{2110}+\mathbf{e}_{2101}) & (\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1110}), \\[1ex] 24\mathbf{e}_{3321}+16\mathbf{e}_{3221}+8\mathbf{e}_{3211} & (\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1111}). \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

(iii)

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{x}\cdot(\Phi R\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4})^{-1} \\ &\equiv \begin{cases} 3\mathbf{e}_{1000}+2\mathbf{e}_{1100}+\mathbf{e}_{1110} & (\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1000}), \\[1ex] 3\mathbf{e}_{1111}+2(\mathbf{e}_{1210}+\mathbf{e}_{1110}) & \\ +\mathbf{e}_{1211}+\mathbf{e}_{1100}+\mathbf{e}_{1011}+\mathbf{e}_{1010}+\mathbf{e}_{1001} & (\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1100}), \\[1ex] 4\mathbf{e}_{2100}+2\mathbf{e}_{2110} & (\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1010}), \\[1ex] 2(\mathbf{e}_{2210}+\mathbf{e}_{2111}+\mathbf{e}_{1221}+\mathbf{e}_{1121}) & \\ +\mathbf{e}_{2211}+\mathbf{e}_{2110}+\mathbf{e}_{1211}+\mathbf{e}_{1101} & (\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1110}), \\[1ex] 8\mathbf{e}_{2221}+4\mathbf{e}_{2211} & (\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1111}). \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

(iv)

$$\mathbf{x}\cdot(\Psi S\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4})^{-1}$$
$$\equiv \begin{cases} 4\mathbf{e}_{1000}+2\mathbf{e}_{1100}+\mathbf{e}_{1111}+\mathbf{e}_{1110} & (\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1000}), \\ 2(\mathbf{e}_{2100}+\mathbf{e}_{1121}+\mathbf{e}_{1110}+\mathbf{e}_{1100}) & \\ +\mathbf{e}_{2111}+\mathbf{e}_{2110}+\mathbf{e}_{1101}+\mathbf{e}_{1001} & (\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1100}), \\ 4(\mathbf{e}_{1210}+\mathbf{e}_{1010})+2(\mathbf{e}_{1211}+\mathbf{e}_{1101}+\mathbf{e}_{1011}+\mathbf{e}_{1001}) & (\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1010}), \\ 3\mathbf{e}_{1111}+2(\mathbf{e}_{2210}+\mathbf{e}_{2121}+\mathbf{e}_{2101}+\mathbf{e}_{1221}) & \\ +\mathbf{e}_{2211}+\mathbf{e}_{2110}+\mathbf{e}_{1211}+\mathbf{e}_{1110}+\mathbf{e}_{1011} & (\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1110}), \\ 8\mathbf{e}_{2221}+4(\mathbf{e}_{2211}+\mathbf{e}_{2111}) & (\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1111}). \end{cases} \tag{3.25}$$

(v)

$$\mathbf{x}\cdot\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4}^{-1} \equiv \begin{cases} \mathbf{e}_{1000} & (\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1000}), \\ \mathbf{e}_{1100}+\mathbf{e}_{1001} & (\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1100}), \\ 2\mathbf{e}_{1010} & (\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1010}), \\ \mathbf{e}_{1110}+\mathbf{e}_{1101}+\mathbf{e}_{1011} & (\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1110}), \\ 4\mathbf{e}_{1111} & (\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1111}). \end{cases} \tag{3.26}$$

Before discussing a proof of Lemma 3.3, we will prove Proposition 3.2 by substituting the congruence equations in Lemma 3.3 into the right-hand side of

$$\mathbf{x}\cdot\Omega^{-1}=\mathbf{x}\cdot\{(P\Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}_4})^{-1}-(\Psi\Phi Q\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4})^{-1}+(\Phi R\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4})^{-1}+(\Psi S\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4})^{-1}-\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4}^{-1}\} \tag{3.27}$$

for $\mathbf{x}\in\{\mathbf{e}_{1000},\mathbf{e}_{1100},\mathbf{e}_{1010},\mathbf{e}_{1110},\mathbf{e}_{1111}\}$. We note that the right-hand sides of (3.22), (3.23), (3.24), (3.25), and (3.26) in Lemma 3.3 include vectors in $\{\mathbf{e}_{i_1i_2i_3i_4}\,|\,i_j=0\,(\exists j)\}$; however, the right-hand sides of (3.14), (3.15), (3.16), and (3.17) in Proposition 3.2 do not include such vectors. That is, in calculating the right-hand of (3.27), the vectors in $\{\mathbf{e}_{i_1i_2i_3i_4}\,|\,i_j=0\,(\exists j)\}$ cancel each other. This fact will help us with the proof of Proposition 3.2.

Proof of Proposition 3.2. Substituting (3.22), (3.23), (3.24), (3.25), and (3.26) for $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1000}$ into the right-hand side of (3.27), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{e}_{1000}\cdot\Omega^{-1} &\equiv 6(\mathbf{e}_{1111}+\mathbf{e}_{1110}+\mathbf{e}_{1100}+\mathbf{e}_{1000})-(12\mathbf{e}_{1000}+10\mathbf{e}_{1100}+8\mathbf{e}_{1110}+6\mathbf{e}_{1111}) \\ &\quad +(3\mathbf{e}_{1000}+2\mathbf{e}_{1100}+\mathbf{e}_{1110})+(4\mathbf{e}_{1000}+2\mathbf{e}_{1100}+\mathbf{e}_{1111}+\mathbf{e}_{1110})-\mathbf{e}_{1000} \\ &\equiv \mathbf{e}_{1111},\end{aligned}$$

which proves (3.14).

We see from (3.23) and (3.24) for $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1100}$ that

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{e}_{1100}\cdot\{(\Psi\Phi Q\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4})^{-1}-(\Phi R\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4})^{-1}\} \\ &\quad\equiv 6\mathbf{e}_{2100}+5\mathbf{e}_{2110}+4(\mathbf{e}_{2210}+\mathbf{e}_{2111})+3(\mathbf{e}_{2211}+\mathbf{e}_{1111}) \\ &\qquad +2(\mathbf{e}_{2221}+\mathbf{e}_{1221}+\mathbf{e}_{1121}+\mathbf{e}_{1110})+\mathbf{e}_{1211}+\mathbf{e}_{1101}+\mathbf{e}_{1100},\end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

and from (3.25) and (3.26) for $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1100}$ that

$$\mathbf{e}_{1100}\cdot\{(\Psi S\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4})^{-1}-\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4}^{-1}\}$$

$$\equiv 2(\mathbf{e}_{2100} + \mathbf{e}_{1121} + \mathbf{e}_{1110}) + \mathbf{e}_{2111} + \mathbf{e}_{2110} + \mathbf{e}_{1101} + \mathbf{e}_{1100}. \tag{3.29}$$

Substituting (3.22), (3.28), and (3.29) into the right-hand side of (3.27), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{e}_{1100} \cdot \Omega^{-1} &\equiv \mathbf{e}_{1100} \cdot (P\Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}_4})^{-1} \\
&\quad -\mathbf{e}_{1100} \cdot \{(\Psi\Phi Q\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4})^{-1} - (\Phi R\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4})^{-1}\} + \mathbf{e}_{1100} \cdot \{(\Psi S\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4})^{-1} - \Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4}^{-1}\} \\
&\equiv 4(\mathbf{e}_{2221} + \mathbf{e}_{2211} + \mathbf{e}_{2210} + \mathbf{e}_{2111} + \mathbf{e}_{2110} + \mathbf{e}_{2100}) \\
&\quad -6\mathbf{e}_{2100} - 5\mathbf{e}_{2110} - 4(\mathbf{e}_{2210} + \mathbf{e}_{2111}) - 3(\mathbf{e}_{2211} + \mathbf{e}_{1111}) \\
&\quad -2(\mathbf{e}_{2221} + \mathbf{e}_{1221} + \mathbf{e}_{1121} + \mathbf{e}_{1110}) - \mathbf{e}_{1211} - \mathbf{e}_{1101} - \mathbf{e}_{1100} \\
&\quad +2(\mathbf{e}_{2100} + \mathbf{e}_{1121} + \mathbf{e}_{1110}) + \mathbf{e}_{2111} + \mathbf{e}_{2110} + \mathbf{e}_{1101} + \mathbf{e}_{1100} \\
&\equiv -3\mathbf{e}_{1111} + 2(\mathbf{e}_{2221} - \mathbf{e}_{1221}) + \mathbf{e}_{2211} + \mathbf{e}_{2111} - \mathbf{e}_{1211},
\end{aligned}$$

which proves (3.15).

Substituting (3.22), (3.23), (3.24), (3.25), and (3.26) for $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{e}_{1010}$ into the right-hand side of (3.27), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{e}_{1010} \cdot \Omega^{-1} \\
&\quad \equiv 4(\mathbf{e}_{2221} + \mathbf{e}_{2211} + \mathbf{e}_{2210} + \mathbf{e}_{2111} + \mathbf{e}_{2110} + \mathbf{e}_{2100}) \\
&\qquad -\{8\mathbf{e}_{2100} + 6\mathbf{e}_{2110} + 4(\mathbf{e}_{2210} + \mathbf{e}_{2111} + \mathbf{e}_{1221} + \mathbf{e}_{1211} + \mathbf{e}_{1210} + \mathbf{e}_{1121}) \\
&\qquad\quad +2(\mathbf{e}_{2211} + \mathbf{e}_{1101} + \mathbf{e}_{1011} + \mathbf{e}_{1010} + \mathbf{e}_{1001})\} \\
&\qquad +4\mathbf{e}_{2100} + 2\mathbf{e}_{2110} + 4(\mathbf{e}_{1210} + \mathbf{e}_{1010}) + 2(\mathbf{e}_{1211} + \mathbf{e}_{1101} + \mathbf{e}_{1011} + \mathbf{e}_{1001}) - 2\mathbf{e}_{1010},
\end{aligned}$$

which can be summarized as

$$\mathbf{e}_{1010} \cdot \Omega^{-1} \equiv 4(\mathbf{e}_{2221} - \mathbf{e}_{1221} - \mathbf{e}_{1121}) + 2(\mathbf{e}_{2211} - \mathbf{e}_{1211}). \tag{3.30}$$

Combining (3.15) and (3.30) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathbf{e}_{1100} - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{e}_{1010}\right) \cdot \Omega^{-1} &\equiv 2(\mathbf{e}_{2221} - \mathbf{e}_{1221}) + \mathbf{e}_{2211} + \mathbf{e}_{2111} - \mathbf{e}_{1211} - 3\mathbf{e}_{1111} \\
&\quad -2(\mathbf{e}_{2221} - \mathbf{e}_{1221} - \mathbf{e}_{1121}) - \mathbf{e}_{2211} + \mathbf{e}_{1211} \\
&\equiv \mathbf{e}_{2111} + 2\mathbf{e}_{1121} - 3\mathbf{e}_{1111},
\end{aligned}$$

which proves (3.16).

We see from (3.22) and (3.23) for $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{e}_{1110}$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{e}_{1110} \cdot \{(P\Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}_4})^{-1} - (\Psi\Phi Q\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4})^{-1}\} \\
&\quad \equiv -8\mathbf{e}_{2221} + 6(\mathbf{e}_{3321} - \mathbf{e}_{2321} - \mathbf{e}_{2211}) \\
&\qquad +4(\mathbf{e}_{3221} - \mathbf{e}_{2210} - \mathbf{e}_{2121} - \mathbf{e}_{2111}) + 2(\mathbf{e}_{3211} - \mathbf{e}_{2110} - \mathbf{e}_{2101}),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

and from (3.24), (3.25), and (3.26) for $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{e}_{1110}$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{e}_{1110} \cdot \{(\Phi R\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4})^{-1} + (\Psi S\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4})^{-1} - \Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4}^{-1}\} \\
&\quad \equiv 4(\mathbf{e}_{2210} + \mathbf{e}_{1221}) + 3\mathbf{e}_{1111} \\
&\qquad +2(\mathbf{e}_{2211} + \mathbf{e}_{2121} + \mathbf{e}_{2111} + \mathbf{e}_{2110} + \mathbf{e}_{2101} + \mathbf{e}_{1211} + \mathbf{e}_{1121}).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

Substituting (3.31) and (3.32) into the right-hand side of (3.27), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{e}_{1110} \cdot \Omega^{-1} &\equiv -8\mathbf{e}_{2221} + 6(\mathbf{e}_{3321} - \mathbf{e}_{2321}) + 4(\mathbf{e}_{3221} + \mathbf{e}_{1221} - \mathbf{e}_{2211}) \\
&\quad +3\mathbf{e}_{1111} + 2(\mathbf{e}_{3211} + \mathbf{e}_{1211} + \mathbf{e}_{1121} - \mathbf{e}_{2121} - \mathbf{e}_{2111}).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$

Substituting (3.22), (3.23), (3.24), (3.25), and (3.26) for $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{e}_{1111}$ into the right-hand side of (3.27), we also obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{e}_{1111} \cdot \Omega^{-1}\\ &\quad \equiv 24(\mathbf{e}_{4321} - \mathbf{e}_{3321}) + 16(\mathbf{e}_{2221} - \mathbf{e}_{3221}) + 8(\mathbf{e}_{2211} - \mathbf{e}_{3211}) + 4(\mathbf{e}_{2111} - \mathbf{e}_{1111}).\end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

Combining (3.15), (3.30), (3.33), and (3.34) yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\mathbf{e}_{1100} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{e}_{1010} + \mathbf{e}_{1110} + \frac{1}{4}\mathbf{e}_{1111}\right) \cdot \Omega^{-1}\\ &\quad \equiv \{2(\mathbf{e}_{2221} - \mathbf{e}_{1221}) + (\mathbf{e}_{2211} + \mathbf{e}_{2111} - \mathbf{e}_{1211}) - 3\mathbf{e}_{1111}\}\\ &\qquad +\{2(\mathbf{e}_{2221} - \mathbf{e}_{1221} - \mathbf{e}_{1121}) + \mathbf{e}_{2211} - \mathbf{e}_{1211}\}\\ &\qquad +\{-8\mathbf{e}_{2221} + 6(\mathbf{e}_{3321} - \mathbf{e}_{2321}) + 4(\mathbf{e}_{3221} + \mathbf{e}_{1221} - \mathbf{e}_{2211})\\ &\qquad\quad +3\mathbf{e}_{1111} + 2(\mathbf{e}_{3211} + \mathbf{e}_{1211} + \mathbf{e}_{1121} - \mathbf{e}_{2121} - \mathbf{e}_{2111})\}\\ &\qquad +\{6(\mathbf{e}_{4321} - \mathbf{e}_{3321}) + 4(\mathbf{e}_{2221} - \mathbf{e}_{3221}) + 2(\mathbf{e}_{2211} - \mathbf{e}_{3211}) + \mathbf{e}_{2111} - \mathbf{e}_{1111}\}\\ &\quad \equiv 6(\mathbf{e}_{4321} - \mathbf{e}_{2321}) - 2\mathbf{e}_{2121} - \mathbf{e}_{1111},\end{aligned}$$

which proves (3.17), and this completes the proof. □

For a matrix $M = (m_{ij})$ in $GL_4(\mathbb{Z})$ and distinct integers $i_1, \dots, i_n$ in $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ $(n \leq 4)$, we define the vector $M_{[i_1 \dots i_n]}$ in $\mathbb{Z}^4$ by

$$M_{[i_1 \dots i_n]} := \mathbf{e}_{\delta_1 \delta_2 \delta_3 \delta_4} M,$$

where δ_i is 1 if $i \in \{i_1, \dots, i_n\}$ and 0 otherwise. Equivalently, $M_{[i_1 \dots i_n]}$ is determined by

$$M_{[i_1 \dots i_n]} = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} m_{i_k 1}, \sum_{k=1}^{n} m_{i_k 2}, \sum_{k=1}^{n} m_{i_k 3}, \sum_{k=1}^{n} m_{i_k 4}\right).$$

For example, if $M = P^{-1} = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, then

$$M_{[2]} = \mathbf{e}_{0100} M = \mathbf{e}_{1100}, \quad M_{[12]} = \mathbf{e}_{1100} M = \mathbf{e}_{2100}, \quad \text{and} \quad M_{[124]} = \mathbf{e}_{1101} M = \mathbf{e}_{3211}.$$

The following identities in $\mathbb{Q}[GL_4(\mathbb{Z})]$ are useful for proving Lemma 3.3:

LEMMA 3.4. *For any $M \in GL_4(\mathbb{Z})$, we have*

$$\mathbf{x} \cdot \Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}_4} M = \begin{cases} 6(M_{[1]} + M_{[2]} + M_{[3]} + M_{[4]}) & (\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{e}_{1000}), \\ 4(M_{[12]} + M_{[13]} + M_{[14]} \\ \quad + M_{[23]} + M_{[24]} + M_{[34]}) & (\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{e}_{1100}, \mathbf{e}_{1010}), \\ 6(M_{[123]} + M_{[124]} + M_{[134]} + M_{[234]}) & (\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{e}_{1110}), \\ 24 M_{[1234]} & (\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{e}_{1111}), \end{cases} \tag{3.35}$$

and

$$\mathbf{x} \cdot \Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4} M = \begin{cases} M_{[1]} + M_{[2]} + M_{[3]} + M_{[4]} & (\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{e}_{1000}), \\ M_{[12]} + M_{[23]} + M_{[34]} + M_{[14]} & (\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{e}_{1100}), \\ 2(M_{[13]} + M_{[24]}) & (\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{e}_{1010}), \\ M_{[123]} + M_{[124]} + M_{[134]} + M_{[234]} & (\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{e}_{1110}), \\ 4 M_{[1234]} & (\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{e}_{1111}). \end{cases} \tag{3.36}$$

Proof. Recall that a permutation σ in $\mathfrak{S}_4$ is identified with the matrix $(\delta_{i\sigma(j)})_{1\le i,j\le 4}$. Thus, $\mathbf{x}\cdot\sigma = (x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}, x_{\sigma(3)}, x_{\sigma(4)})$, and we can deduce the following equations in $\mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{Z}^4]$ by direct calculations:

$$\mathbf{x}\cdot\Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}_4} = \begin{cases} 6(\mathbf{e}_{1000}+\mathbf{e}_{0100}+\mathbf{e}_{0010}+\mathbf{e}_{0001}) & (\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1000}),\\ 4(\mathbf{e}_{1100}+\mathbf{e}_{1010}+\mathbf{e}_{1001}+\mathbf{e}_{0110}+\mathbf{e}_{0101}+\mathbf{e}_{0011}) & (\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1100},\mathbf{e}_{1010}),\\ 6(\mathbf{e}_{1110}+\mathbf{e}_{1101}+\mathbf{e}_{1011}+\mathbf{e}_{0111}) & (\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1110}),\\ 24\mathbf{e}_{1111} & (\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1111}), \end{cases} \tag{3.37}$$

and

$$\mathbf{x}\cdot\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{e}_{1000}+\mathbf{e}_{0100}+\mathbf{e}_{0010}+\mathbf{e}_{0001} & (\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1000}),\\ \mathbf{e}_{1100}+\mathbf{e}_{0110}+\mathbf{e}_{0011}+\mathbf{e}_{1001} & (\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1100}),\\ 2(\mathbf{e}_{1010}+\mathbf{e}_{0101}) & (\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1010}),\\ \mathbf{e}_{1110}+\mathbf{e}_{1101}+\mathbf{e}_{1011}+\mathbf{e}_{0111} & (\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1110}),\\ 4\mathbf{e}_{1111} & (\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1111}). \end{cases} \tag{3.38}$$

Since $\mathbf{x}\cdot\Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}_4}M = (\mathbf{x}\cdot\Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}_4})\cdot M$ and $\mathbf{x}\cdot\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4}M = (\mathbf{x}\cdot\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4})\cdot M$, we obtain (3.35) and (3.36) from (3.37) and (3.38), respectively. ☐

We now prove Lemma 3.3 for the completeness of the proof of Proposition 3.2, or for that of Corollary 1.2.

Proof of Lemma 3.3. Since $(P\Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}_4})^{-1} = \Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}_4}^{-1}P^{-1} = \Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}_4}P^{-1}$, we obtain by (3.35)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}\cdot(P\Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}_4})^{-1} &= \mathbf{x}\cdot\Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}_4}\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1&0&0&0\\1&1&0&0\\1&1&1&0\\1&1&1&1\end{smallmatrix}\right)\\ &= \begin{cases} 6(\mathbf{e}_{1000}+\mathbf{e}_{1100}+\mathbf{e}_{1110}+\mathbf{e}_{1111}) & (\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1000}),\\ 4(\mathbf{e}_{2100}+\mathbf{e}_{2110}+\mathbf{e}_{2111}+\mathbf{e}_{2210}+\mathbf{e}_{2211}+\mathbf{e}_{2221}) & (\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1100},\mathbf{e}_{1010}),\\ 6(\mathbf{e}_{3210}+\mathbf{e}_{3211}+\mathbf{e}_{3221}+\mathbf{e}_{3321}) & (\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1110}),\\ 24\mathbf{e}_{4321} & (\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1111}), \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

which proves (3.22).

We can obtain by (3.36) the following congruence equations:

$$\mathbf{x}\cdot\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4}\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1&0&0&0\\0&1&0&0\\1&1&1&0\\0&0&0&1\end{smallmatrix}\right) \equiv \begin{cases} \mathbf{e}_{1000}+\mathbf{e}_{1110} & (\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1000}),\\ \mathbf{e}_{1100}+\mathbf{e}_{1210}+\mathbf{e}_{1111}+\mathbf{e}_{1001} & (\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1100}),\\ 2\mathbf{e}_{2110} & (\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1010}),\\ \mathbf{e}_{2210}+\mathbf{e}_{1101}+\mathbf{e}_{2111}+\mathbf{e}_{1211} & (\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1110}),\\ 4\mathbf{e}_{2211} & (\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1111}), \end{cases} \tag{3.39}$$

$$\mathbf{x}\cdot\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4}\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1&0&0&0\\0&1&1&0\\1&1&0&0\\0&0&1&1\end{smallmatrix}\right)\equiv\begin{cases}\mathbf{e}_{1000}+\mathbf{e}_{1100} & (\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1000}),\\ \mathbf{e}_{1110}+\mathbf{e}_{1210}+\mathbf{e}_{1111}+\mathbf{e}_{1011} & (\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1100}),\\ 2\mathbf{e}_{2100} & (\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1010}),\\ \mathbf{e}_{2210}+\mathbf{e}_{1121}+\mathbf{e}_{2111}+\mathbf{e}_{1221} & (\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1110}),\\ 4\mathbf{e}_{2221} & (\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1111}),\end{cases}\tag{3.40}$$

$$\mathbf{x}\cdot\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4}\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1&1&0&0\\0&0&1&0\\1&0&0&0\\0&1&1&1\end{smallmatrix}\right)\equiv\begin{cases}\mathbf{e}_{1100}+\mathbf{e}_{1000} & (\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1000}),\\ \mathbf{e}_{1110}+\mathbf{e}_{1010}+\mathbf{e}_{1111}+\mathbf{e}_{1211} & (\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1100}),\\ 2\mathbf{e}_{2100} & (\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1010}),\\ \mathbf{e}_{2110}+\mathbf{e}_{1221}+\mathbf{e}_{2211}+\mathbf{e}_{1121} & (\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1110}),\\ 4\mathbf{e}_{2221} & (\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{1111}).\end{cases}\tag{3.41}$$

Since $(\Phi R\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4})^{-1}=\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4}^{-1}(\Phi R)^{-1}=\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4}(\Phi R)^{-1}$, we see from (2.40) that

$$\mathbf{x}\cdot(\Phi R\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4})^{-1}=\mathbf{x}\cdot\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4}\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1&0&0&0\\0&1&0&0\\1&1&1&0\\0&0&0&1\end{smallmatrix}\right)+\mathbf{x}\cdot\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4}\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1&0&0&0\\0&1&1&0\\1&1&0&0\\0&0&1&1\end{smallmatrix}\right)+\mathbf{x}\cdot\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_4}\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1&1&0&0\\0&0&1&0\\1&0&0&0\\0&1&1&1\end{smallmatrix}\right).$$

Thus, the sum of (3.39), (3.40), and (3.41) proves (3.24).

With the same method that we used for (3.24), we can prove (3.23) and (3.25) by using (2.39) and (2.41), respectively, instead of (2.40). We omit these proofs because of space limitations.

Since $\mathbf{e}_{abcd}\equiv 0$ for $a=0$, (3.26) immediately follows from (3.36) with $M=I$, which completes the proof. □

Appendix

Let $\mathfrak{C}_3$ denote the cyclic subgroup $\langle(123)\rangle$ in $\mathfrak{S}_3$. Note that $\mathfrak{C}_3$ is the alternating group $\mathfrak{A}_3$ of degree 3. We define the formal power series $\underline{\mathfrak{d}}(x_1,x_2)$ and $\underline{\mathfrak{t}}(x_1,x_2,x_3)$ as

$$\underline{\mathfrak{d}}(x_1,x_2):=\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{l}\\ \left(\begin{smallmatrix}\mathrm{d}(\mathbf{l})=2\\ \mathrm{w}(\mathbf{l})>2\end{smallmatrix}\right)}}\zeta(l_1+l_2)x_1^{l_1-1}x_2^{l_2-1},$$

$$\underline{\mathfrak{t}}(x_1,x_2,x_3):=\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{l}\\ \left(\begin{smallmatrix}\mathrm{d}(\mathbf{l})=3\\ \mathrm{w}(\mathbf{l})>3\end{smallmatrix}\right)}}\zeta(l_1+l_2+l_3)x_1^{l_1-1}x_2^{l_2-1}x_3^{l_3-1},$$

respectively.

The generalizations of (1.1) for DZVs and TZVs from the viewpoint of the generating functions $\underline{\mathfrak{D}}(x_1,x_2)$ and $\underline{\mathfrak{T}}(x_1,x_2,x_3)$ can be stated as follows:

$$\underline{\mathfrak{d}}(x_1,x_2)=\sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_2}\left(\underline{\mathfrak{D}}(x_{\sigma(1)}+x_{\sigma(2)},x_{\sigma(2)})-\underline{\mathfrak{D}}(x_{\sigma(1)},x_{\sigma(2)})\right),\tag{3.42}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\underline{\mathfrak{t}}(x_1,x_2,x_3) = &\sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_3} \underline{\mathfrak{T}}(x_{\sigma(1)}+x_{\sigma(2)}+x_{\sigma(3)}, x_{\sigma(2)}+x_{\sigma(3)}, x_{\sigma(3)}) \\ &- \sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{C}_3}\Bigg(\sum_{\tau\in\langle(23)\rangle} \underline{\mathfrak{T}}(x_{\sigma(1)}+x_{\sigma(3)}, x_{\sigma\tau(2)}+x_{\sigma\tau(3)}, x_{\sigma\tau(3)}) \\ &\qquad + \underline{\mathfrak{T}}(x_{\sigma(1)}+x_{\sigma(2)}, x_{\sigma(2)}, x_{\sigma(3)}) - \underline{\mathfrak{T}}(x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}, x_{\sigma(3)})\Bigg). \end{aligned} \tag{3.43}$$

The above formulas were proved in [3, (27)] and [14, Theorem 1.2], respectively. Note that the original formula in [14, Theorem 1.2] was not written in terms of formal power series but in terms of homogeneous polynomials.

Let Q_3, R_3 be the matrices in $GL_3(\mathbb{Z})$ given by

$$Q_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q_3^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad R_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad R_3^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and let Φ_3 be the element in $\mathbb{Q}[GL_3(\mathbb{Z})]$ given by

$$\Phi_3 = \Phi_3^{-1} = I_3 + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that by (2.1), $P_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $P_2^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $P_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, and $P_3^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

We can now restate (3.42) and (3.43) as follows.

THEOREM ([3, 14]). *We have*

$$\underline{\mathfrak{D}}|(P_2 - I_2)\Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}_2} = \underline{\mathfrak{d}}, \tag{3.44}$$

$$\underline{\mathfrak{T}}|(P_3\Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}_3} - (Q_3 + \Phi_3 R_3 - I_3)\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}_3}) = \underline{\mathfrak{t}}. \tag{3.45}$$

References

[1] M. Eie, W-C. Liaw, and Y. L. Ong, *A restricted sum formula among multiple zeta values*, J. Number Theory **129** (2009), 908–921.

[2] L. Euler, *Meditationes circa singulare serierum genus*, Novi Comm. Acad. Sci. Petropol. **20** (1776), 140–186 ; reprinted in Opera Omnia Ser. I, vol. 15, 217–267.

[3] H. Gangl, M. Kaneko, and D. Zagier, *Double zeta values and modular forms*, Automorphic forms and zeta functions, 71–106, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2006.

[4] A. Granville, *A decomposition of Riemann's zeta-function*, Analytic Number Theory (Kyoto, 1996), 95–101, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 247, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997.

[5] L. Guo and B. Xie, *Weighted sum formula for multiple zeta values*, J. Number Theory **129** (2009), 2747–2765.

[6] M. E. Hoffman, *Multiple harmonic series*, Pacific J. Math. **152** (1992), 275–290.

[7] M. E. Hoffman, *On multiple zeta values of even arguments*, preprint; arXiv:1205.7051v2 [math.NT] (2012).

[8] M. E. Hoffman and C. Moen, *Sums of triple harmonic series*, J. Number Theory **60** (1996), 329–331.

[9] M. E. Hoffman and Y. Ohno, *Relations of multiple zeta values and their algebraic expression*, J. Algebra **262** (2003), 332–347.

[10] K. Ihara, M. Kaneko, and D. Zagier, *Derivation and double shuffle relations for multiple zeta values*, Compositio Math. **142** (2006), 307–338.

[11] T. Machide, *Weighted sums with two parameters of multiple zeta values and their formulas*, Int. J. Number Theory **8** (2012), 1903–1921.

[12] T. Machide, *A parameterized generalization of the sum formula for quadruple zeta values*, preprint; arXiv:1210.8005 [math.NT] (2012).

[13] T. Machide, *Some restricted sum formulas for double zeta values*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **89** (2013), 51–54.

[14] T. Machide, *Extended double shuffle relations and the generating function of triple zeta values of any fixed weight*, Kyushu J. Math. **67** (2013), 281–307.

[15] T. Machide, *Congruence identities of regularized multiple zeta values involving a pair of index sets*, to appear in Int. J. Number Theory.

[16] T. Machide, *Identities involving cyclic sums of regularized multiple zeta values each of depth less than* 5, preprint; arXiv:1502.02505 [math.NT] (2015).

[17] C. Markett, *Triple sums and the Riemann zeta function*, J. Number Theory **48** (1994), 113–132.

[18] T. Nakamura, *Restricted and weighted sum formulas for double zeta values of even weight*, Šiauliai Math. Semin. **4(12)** (2009), 151–155.

[19] Y. Ohno, *A generalization of the duality and sum formulas on the multiple zeta values*, J. Number Theory **74** (1999), 39–43.

[20] Y. Ohno and W. Zudilin, *Zeta stars*, Commun. Number Theory Phys. **2** (2008), 47–58.

[21] Y. L. Ong, M. Eie, and W-C. Liaw, *On generalizations of weighted sum formulas of multiple zeta values*, Int. J. Number Theory **9** (2013), 1185–1198.

[22] Z. Shen and T. Cai, *Some identities for multiple zeta values*, J. Number Theory **132** (2012), 314–323.